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| 1 | annually, and will create 30 new jobs with |  | 000. We provide full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | associated payroll of two and a half | 2 | its, 401 k . We have to compete with |
| 3 | million, all to be maintained through |  | e energy industry. We secured our |
|  | December 31, 2033. Total capital |  | contract with Shell about a year and a |
| 5 | investment of 10 million is to be expended |  | ago. We've got several contracts |
| 6 | by December 31, 2024. | 6 | North American energy operators, |
| 7 | Jefferson Parish unemploymen |  | including AEP, NextEra Energy, EVF, EV |
|  | was 4.1 as of January 2024, compared to |  | renewables across the US. We have |
|  | the state rate of 4.3 for the same period |  | rtnerships with Louisiana companies |
| 10 | The per capita personal income for | 10 | totaling up to 350 staff. So we have a |
|  | Jefferson Parish for 2022 was 58,692 | 11 | team of 30, and we work with local |
|  | compared to the state per capita income of | 12 | companies of which we use linesmen. So |
| 13 | 54,501 | 13 | anyone can climb a tower, essentially, so |
| 14 | The project | 14 | we brought additional revenues into the |
|  | state revenues of approximately 3.7 | 15 | region and teaching people about wind |
| 16 | million, with the company receiving the | 16 | energ |
| 17 | \$375,000 EDAP as well as 1.4 million from | 17 | I have aspirations to bring Gulf Win |
| 18 | Quality Jobs, and this will result | 18 | into the manufacturing industry, taking |
| 19 | net revenue of over 1.9 million for the | 19 | advantage of the IRA incentives from |
| 20 | state | 20 | federal government, which basically |
| 21 | And staff recommends this project | 21 | support/creates a tailwind for |
| 22 | an unsponsored EDAP with our usual | 22 | manufacturing companies to the tune |
| 23 | contingencies that are normally in place, | 23 | about 30 percent which is enough to cost |
| 24 | as well as the retention of the nine jobs | 24 | offset low cost manufacturing countries |
| 25 | with associated payroll of 630 increased | 25 | such as China, India and Mexico |
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|  | at two percent annually, as well as the creation of 30 new jobs with an associated payroll of 2.5 million, all maintained through December 31, 2033. Total capital investment of 10 million to be expended by December 31, 2024. And then, finally, Gulf Wind is to provide collateral in an amount no less than the EDAP award. <br> And with that I'd like to introduce Mr. Martin, and he can give you more information about the company and the project. <br> MR. MARTIN: <br> Thank you very much. Good morning, everyone. It's a pleasure to be here. I've been in Louisiana since 2010 and very much enjoyed growing three separate companies, one which was acquired by General Electric in 2015, and then obviously that then brought wind power into the region in 2018. <br> Gulf Wind was founded approximately two and a half years ago. In that time, we've grown our job base to 30 jobs. Our average payroll at the moment is just |  | We've chosen Avondale because it's |
| 2 |  | 2 | phenomenal industrial resource. So these |
| 3 |  | 3 | blades which are as long as a football |
| 4 |  | 4 | field -- we actually purchased our first |
| 5 |  | 5 | turbine to be reported from Europe as we |
| 6 |  | 6 | speak. It's about two weeks out. We have |
| 7 |  | 7 | a commercial agreement with Port Fourchon |
| 8 |  | 8 | down in South Louisiana. We have an |
| 9 |  | 9 | operating lease that was active as of |
| 10 |  | 10 | first of April. We are currently |
| 11 |  | 11 | constrained by head count. So actually |
| 12 |  | 12 | I've got over 16 American positions of |
| 13 |  | 13 | technology today |
| 14 |  | 14 | We've partnered with Jefferson Parish |
| 15 |  | 5 | schools. We donated \$25,000 to their STEM |
| 16 |  | 16 | program based on their four STEM |
| 17 |  | 17 | academies. Working with the senior team |
| 18 |  | 18 | of Jefferson Parish schools, including Dr. |
| 19 |  | 19 | Gray. We've partnered with Fletcher |
| 20 |  | 20 | College, Delgado College, both in south |
| 21 |  | 2 | Louisiana in our locale to Avondale. We |
| 22 |  | 22 | work with Tulane University, LSU, and UNO. |
| 23 |  | 23 | e've got active fellowship programs at |
| 2 |  | 24 | all three of those universities |
| 25 |  | 25 | Our aspiration's to have 150 jobs by |
|  |  |  | Page 13 |


|  | the end of next year which would basically |  | pow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | be manufacturing a pilot series of turbine | 2 | entially. And a lot, almost 80 percent |
|  | blades for Shell Energies. And then if we |  | of those components are imported from |
|  | get in, we are aspiring against the |  | overseas. And there's a federal incentive |
| 5 | manufacturing industry, for which I've set | 5 | to have more sub assembly of manufacturing |
|  | up 15 factories in my career to date, and | 6 | content in the US. |
|  | one factory houses about a thousand jobs |  | MR. REINE: |
| 8 | So the expectation if Gulf Wind | 8 | And do those federal incentives have |
| 9 | successful by the end of the decade | 9 | labor standards in it? |
| 10 | be in the manufacturing export industry | 10 | MR. MARTIN: |
|  | for rotor component | 1 | They have -- the good thing about |
| 12 | Quick background | 12 | that -- maybe I might not be able to |
| 13 | There's about 90,000 wind turbines in | 13 | answer that standard, that question |
| 14 | North America today deploying about 15, | 14 | completely, but it is -- the credit goes |
|  | turbines per year. Offshore wind is about | 15 | directly to the manufacturer. And the |
| 16 | to launch in North America. The | 16 | manufacturer is -- so Gulf Wind Technology |
| 17 | expectation that will bring an additional | 17 | would aspire to be a blade manufacturer |
|  | if you look at the NREL reports, between | 18 | and we'd be looking to align our |
| 19 | 97 and 195,000 new jobs between now and | 19 | on-boarding with the Quality Jobs program |
| 20 | 2040. So,„, it's a huge emerging industry | 20 | with Louisiana State, essentially. |
| 21 | and that's really -- we're really excited. | 21 | MR. REINE |
| 22 | Thank you very much for the | 22 | So but for the federal part, so in |
| 23 | opportunity to present today. The EDAP | 23 | the grants or the incentives, are there |
| 24 | award goes a long way to securing. I | 24 | labor standards from the federal |
| 25 | think it's a fantastic framework, | 25 | government? |
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|  | purchasing an asset that we would be manufacturing components for Gulf Wind blades, which Laura's going to talk a little bit about. There will be direct jobs around the asset and I believe it's going to be an important catalyst to us getting into that manufacturing industry. <br> I'm open to any questions. <br> MR. REINE: <br> So you mentioned some kind of federal grant or something; what was that? MR. MARTIN: <br> So, we secured our first Department of Energy Wind Energy Office grant middle of last year. And these grants are basically -- sponsor American designed, American manufacturing technologies. So we secured our first SBIR grant. We've got our second one coming in at the end of Q2 this year. But there is the IRA tax credit, which basically look into stimulate domestic content for the wind energy industry. And if companies such as GE, just as an example, it's an incentive for the turbines themselves. If you think |  | MR. MARTIN: |
| 2 |  | 2 | I'm -- not that I'm aware of, no. |
| 3 |  | 3 | It's a -- there's a lot of study going |
| 4 |  | 4 | into how these IRA is actually leveraged, |
| 5 |  | 5 | but it's really meant to be a per |
| 6 |  | 6 | component tax credit, essentially like a |
| 7 |  | 7 | credit system. So, for every blade that |
| 8 |  | 8 | you might manufacture, there's actually -- |
| 9 |  | 9 | if a blade is $\$ 100,000$, it'll be a $\$ 30,000$ |
| 10 |  | 10 | essentially subsidy through 2030 to cost |
| 11 |  | 11 | offset the manufacturing barrier to entry. |
| 12 |  | 12 | We, Gulf Wind, is going to be raising two |
| 13 |  | 13 | to $\$ 300$ million between now and 2030 to |
| 14 |  | 14 | get into the capital equipment. And |
| 15 |  | 15 | essentially that the IRA is designed to |
| 16 |  | 16 | incentivize companies to invest. |
| 17 |  | 17 | MR. REINE: |
| 18 |  | 18 | Good. Thank you. |
| 19 |  | 19 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 20 |  | 20 | On the labor and jobs specifically, I |
| 21 |  | 21 | can certainly find out and follow it |
| 22 |  | 22 | through with Laura. I'm not familiar with |
| 23 |  | 23 | anything specifically for law anyways. |
| 24 |  | 2 | MR. REINE: |
| 25 |  | 25 | They're coming through energy or |
|  |  |  | Page 17 |


| 1 | coming through the IRS because of the tax |  | MR. REINE: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | credits or the grants? | 2 | I'm good with that. |
| 3 | MR. MARTIN: | 3 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 4 | The tax credit would be actually | 4 | So we've been showing that it's a |
| 5 | delivered to the company like a Genera | 5 | more lean model to bring blades in through |
| 6 | Electric that provides the turbine. So, | 6 | Louisiana, put them on to barges to send |
| 7 | the tax credit goes to the system level | 7 | up Mississippi River than it is to go |
| 8 | and then it's actually paid through to the | 8 | through Galveston or Texas and then hop |
|  | manufacturing level. | 9 | out from there. |
| 10 | MR. REINE: | 10 | MR. REINE: |
| 11 | So my only concern is I understoo | 11 | I don't represent Texas workers. I'm |
| 12 | that there were labor standards and those | 12 | for Louisiana workers. Then the total is |
| 13 | would condition either the grants or the | 13 | what, 370,000 or something? |
| 14 | tax credits. We wanted to make sure they | 14 | MS. WOMACK: |
| 15 | were followed so that you got to the end | 15 | 375,000. |
| 16 | that wasn't a problem. | 16 | MR. SIMPSON |
| 17 | MR. MARTIN: | 17 | I have a question. Is Gulf Wind |
| 18 | Understood. Yeah. For companies | 18 | strictly manufacturing, or does it involve |
| 19 | like Gulf Wind, it's something that we try | 19 | itself with any of the operation of |
| 20 | and design manufacturing technologies that | 20 | turbine |
| 21 | can compete with low cost countries | 21 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 22 | anyway. You do that through private | 22 | So we are -- we basically follow th |
| 23 | throughput. And the simulations we've | 23 | constraints in the cost of capital. So we |
| 24 | run, the pilot studies we've run haven't | 24 | work with operations and maintenance as |
| 25 | been on -- as we're next to the | 25 | well. So the way the company was founded |
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|  | Mississippi River, we can actually get a higher throughput rate than any other location in the US, in the domestic US, essentially, so we can compete to maybe almost compete with Mexico and China. But this is an additional incentive that we're looking forward to getting on. MR. REINE: <br> I'm excited to know you're going to Avondale. That was a big hit from the shipyard left, and to see some revitalization down there is pretty good. MR. MARTIN: <br> Yeah, I guess we spent about two and a half million dollars last year. We bought in on the facility and the equipment itself, insulating LEDS, getting OSHA qualified, getting the cranes up and running. We've had several blades getting involved in the supply chain wind. So, again, about 1500 blades have brought into the country. We've been trying to bring, I'm just going to say cannibalized business from Texas. I think that's fair to say. |  | was actually taking problem statements |
| 2 |  | 2 | from companies like NextEra Energy and |
| 3 |  | 3 | Shell Energy, and deploying experts in the |
| 4 |  | 4 | field to support. So much of the teams do |
| 5 |  | 5 | travel, so they travel to Texas, Oklahoma, |
| 6 |  | 6 | Kansas, Massachusetts. So we get involved |
| 7 |  | 7 | with service and operation, but really |
| 8 |  | 8 | we're doing pilot stage manufacturing. So |
| 9 |  | 9 | the first blades that we designed and |
| 10 |  | 10 | manufactured will be spinning in Port |
| 11 |  | 11 | Fourchon at the end of this year. |
| 12 |  | 12 | MR. DAVID: |
| 13 |  | 13 | So are you -- you're not exclusively |
| 14 |  | 14 | offshore turbine design support; is that |
| 15 |  | 15 | correct? |
| 16 |  | 16 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 17 |  | 17 | Correct. The turbine itself, you can |
| 18 |  | 18 | think about the turbines being very |
| 19 |  | 19 | similar, just in different scale. So a |
| 20 |  | 20 | turbine these days is like having a Boeing |
| 21 |  | 21 | 747 on a pole, you know, that sort of |
| 22 |  | 22 | scale. With onshore wind, you don't have |
| 23 |  | 23 | the complexities of the jacket. So if you |
| 24 |  | 24 | looked at if we were going to purchase a |
| 25 |  | 25 | turbine, you might spend 50 percent of the |
|  |  |  | Page 21 |


|  | ey on the foundation and cabling and 50 |  | have to break the deal to renegotiate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | percent on the turbine. Because of the | 2 | And with wind in particular, if you look, |
| 3 | market size and onshore wind, we, Gul |  | offshore wind is more expensive than |
| 4 | Wind, has launched our business focusing |  | re wind because you've got more |
| 5 | on offshore wind to then accelerate the |  | mponents, essentially. But wind is |
| 6 | market in offshore wind. What we'd like | 6 | still -- people don't invest in wind just |
| 7 | to do is to supply |  | because it's green. They invest in it |
| 8 | turbine in the Gulf of Mexico, companies | 8 | ene |
| 9 | like RWE and Shell and Total who wer | 9 | price point. Companies like NextEra or |
| 10 | looking into th | 10 | Entergy are about 70 percent of their |
|  | MR. DAVI |  | rtfolio is renewables now. So it's a |
| 12 | ere were | 12 | break in the contract to renegotiat |
| 13 | cancellations of wind projects along the | 13 | think you'll see those deals come back, |
| 14 | Atlantic Coast -- | 14 | but onshore wind has the time between the |
| 15 | MR. MARTIN | 15 | ase and one is a year. With offshore |
| 16 | Yep | 16 | wind is probably six or seven to eight |
| 17 | MR. DAVI | 17 | years. So you've got a lot more |
| 18 | but I never was | 18 | complexity to the process, essentially. |
| 19 | exactly what was driving it, whet | 19 | MR. DAVID |
| 20 | was animal issues or complexities of the | 20 | And I've never heard anybody say |
| 21 | project or what. What are you seeing, an | 21 | anything. I'm curious. Does the Gulf |
| 22 | how will that impact the Gulf projects? | 22 | have an advantage? Is there any potential |
| 23 | MR. MARTIN: | 23 | for co-locating those, those pylons around |
| 24 | It's a really good question. So, | 24 | the rigs or would they be standalone? |
| 25 | basically, the way wind -- wind | 25 | MR MARTIN. |
|  | Page |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | somewhat gain of patient capture. So if we were going in for a lease area, we'd be looking at the cost structure in probably eight years time. So you secure the lease based on your price point, maybe seven or eight years ahead. Through COVID, the price per megawatts, the price of the turbine, price of commodities on steel went up about 30-40 percent so if you -they call it a PPA, Power Price Agreement, if a lease was secured in the Gulf of Mexico, offtake the power to someone like Entergy, let's say \$20 a megawatt, and then all of a sudden, your prices went up to your turbines, what you want to do is renegotiate the contract with Entergy. So you're selling the power, you're costing the cost structure through. <br> What you've seen on the East coast is exactly that. You've seen, basically, it's all driven by the cost of capital, this will, driven by inflation and the cost of turbine components, the cost of labor. So my personal hypothesis is, you'll see those deals come back, but you |  | So actually, one of the -- the |
| 2 |  | 2 | narios we've been given by one of our |
| 3 |  | 3 | customers is that they, once drilling, |
| 4 |  | 4 | once an asset becomes -- once it doesn't |
| 5 |  | 5 | ave its own natural gas, you then have to |
| 6 |  | 6 | start shipping diesel out to it. And that |
| 7 |  | 7 | takes your cost of the diesel out there |
| 8 |  | 8 | quite significantly high. It's actually |
| 9 |  | 9 | cheaper for them to invest in renewables |
| 10 |  | 10 | or hybrid. It might be solar wind and |
| 11 |  |  | battery storage, and you'll probably see |
| 12 |  | 12 | kind of such as you describe coming out |
| 13 |  | 13 | over the next few years. The big value |
| 14 |  | 14 | the Gulf has is it's shallow water and |
| 15 |  | 15 | it's got this industrial horsepower |
| 16 |  | 16 | eritage. What it's got against it is |
| 17 |  | 17 | that it's light, low wind speed. And the |
| 18 |  | 18 | warranty provisions for the assets are |
| 19 |  | 19 | unknown a little bit like insurance on |
| 20 |  | 2 | use, the challenges we've got in our |
| 21 |  | 21 | me state. But the same thing happens |
| 22 |  | 2 | ith the turbines. If you've got a |
| 23 |  | 23 | billion dollars of assets, what do you |
| 24 |  | 2 | eed to accrue to against -- how many |
| 25 |  | 25 | turbines might be out of action if a |
|  |  |  | Page 25 |

7 (Pages 22-25)


| 1 | hours a week part time. But, again, it's | 1 | need to find a career which is well paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the way we can -- they've got on-the-job | 2 | and is going to look after you. A lot of |
| 3 | training and we can have them have a high | 3 | my team are actually oil and gas. I was |
| 4 | paid job straight out of school, college, | 4 | ex-Chevron. My father was oil and gas |
| 5 | or university. | 5 | industry, and so we know energy. We know |
| 6 | Bringing in specialists I did -- one | 6 | the value of oil and gas. And I think the |
| 7 | of the challenges I do have, very frankly, | 7 | school system, the college system is very |
| 8 | is that wind is quite -- you can have a | 8 | well tuned for that in Louisiana. We just |
| 9 | lot of first principals, engineering jobs, | 9 | need to adjust -- we need to work with the |
| 10 | but if you want the real experience, you | 10 | institutions to add credits, or the |
| 11 | got to bring them to the state. So you do | 11 | college system. |
| 12 | have to head hunt. You do have to bring | 12 | MR. REINE: |
| 13 | people here from out of state, overseas, | 13 | The college system can create their |
| 14 | and that's been for maybe 10 precent of | 14 | own kind of credentials, but if you're |
| 15 | the jobs they're going to have to be | 15 | going to work in the high school system, |
| 16 | brought from out of state. But a lot of | 16 | it brings value to the school. They get |
| 17 | manufacturing, a lot of the technology | 17 | like points for it, but it would allow the |
| 18 | jobs can all be homegrown. | 18 | students to graduate with a certification. |
| 19 | (Indiscernible. Multiple | 19 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 20 | parties speaking at once.) | 20 | That's interesting. We haven't gone |
| 21 | MR. REINE: | 21 | down that route yet. That's a really |
| 22 | First of all, it's music to my ears | 22 | good -- I'll bring it up with Dr. Gray. |
| 23 | when you say paid internships. So -- and | 23 | MR. REINE: |
| 24 | this may be more of a question for the | 24 | That's what I do when I'm not here. |
| 25 | school system, but have y'all looked at or Page 30 | 25 | My paid job is of the industry. Page 32 |
| 1 | have what's called industry based | 1 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 2 | certifications for these that would count | 2 | Oh, okay. |
| 3 | towards those high school diplomas or you | 3 | MR. REINE: |
| 4 | haven't got there yet? | 4 | Certification -- but it gives them a |
| 5 | MR. MARTIN: | 5 | special diploma, they have a certificate |
| 6 | I haven't got there yet, but it is a | 6 | that they can bring to the employer |
| 7 | question -- actually, Dr. Amanda from | 7 | showing that they have certain skills. So |
| 8 | Delgado College has been very proactive on | 8 | we do this in various different industries |
| 9 | this front. And then essentially there's | 9 | that maybe something you'll look into. |
| 10 | something like a PTECH, if I take | 10 | MR. MARTIN: |
| 11 | something that's similar. So oil and gas | 11 | Yeah, absolutely. |
| 12 | has maybe 80 percent of the core skills | 12 | MS. VILLA: |
| 13 | that can be also leveraged in renewables. | 13 | That's administered through the |
| 14 | And companies like Shell, they're | 14 | Louisiana Workforce Commission, industry |
| 15 | important. It's a brand ambassador, we're | 15 | based certifications. |
| 16 | talking about energy. It's just energy. | 16 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 17 | It's not either or -- it's not oil and gas | 17 | All right. We have a motion on the |
| 18 | or wind. It's actually, if you want to | 18 | table by Mr. Reine. Second by Mr. David. |
| 19 | get into the energy business, these are | 19 | Any other discussion? |
| 20 | the skills you need. | 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | And so, we get, you know, we get told | 21 | Any comments from the public? |
| 22 | by the parents, actually, because it's | 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | quite often I meet the parents of the kids | 23 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye. |
| 24 | at the schools, and they're the ones that | 24 | (All) Aye. |
| 25 | are coaching the kids on, you know, you | 25 | All opposed, nay? |
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| 1 | (No response.) | 1 | financial statements? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Congratulations. We're excited | 2 | MS. VILLA: |
| 3 | you're here. I hope by virtue of the fact | 3 | The financial statements, the values. |
| 4 | that you easily pronounce Port Fourchon, | 4 | MR. REINE: |
| 5 | that you've started to call Louisiana | 5 | No findings? |
| 6 | home. | 6 | MS. VILLA: |
| 7 | MR. MARTIN: | 7 | There's an unmodified opinion. No |
| 8 | Hundred percent. Thank you very | 8 | findings. |
| 9 | much. | 9 | MR. REINE: |
| 10 | MR. REINE: | 10 | And so, is part of this the three |
| 11 | Let me know when you can spell | 11 | write-offs, or are we doing that separate? |
| 12 | Ouachita Parish. | 12 | MS. VILLA: |
| 13 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 13 | The three write-offs are separate. |
| 14 | Next order of business is Ms. Villa, | 14 | There were three things that -- |
| 15 | the Finance Committee Report. | 15 | MR. REINE: |
| 16 | MS. VILLA: | 16 | Is that the motion to accept the |
| 17 | Good morning | 17 | MS. VILLA: |
| 18 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 18 | Financial statements? |
| 19 | Good morning. | 19 | MR. REINE: |
| 20 | MR. MARTIN: | 20 | In the auditor's report? |
| 21 | This morning, the finance committee | 21 | MS. VILLA: |
| 22 | met and heard from the auditors on the | 22 | I was going to ask them to do them in |
| 23 | financial statements as of June 30, 2023, | 23 | globo, but if we want to take them up |
| 24 | for LEDC as well as the valuation of the | 24 | individually, the board can do that. |
| 25 | LEDC investments as of June 30, 2023. And Page 34 | 25 | MR. REINE: Page 36 |
| 1 | there were three relatively small, I guess, charge-offs that we just need to do procedurally to get them off of the investments. And so, all were approved by the finance committee, and so we'll ask for the full board's approval. <br> CHARIMAN ROY: <br> Okay. So you suggest that as a motion, I guess? <br> MS. VILLA: <br> Yeah. <br> CHARIMAN ROY: <br> Motions? <br> MR. REINE: <br> Yeah, we'll go through them again. <br> MS. VILLA: <br> So, this morning, prior to the full board meeting, the finance committee -MR. REINE: <br> I'm just looking for the names. <br> MS. VILLA: <br> Oh, the audited finances statements that we're -MR. REINE: <br> Whatever we're approving, the | 1 | So I'll make a motion in globo, if |
| 2 |  | 2 | you tell me what we're approving. |
| 3 |  | 3 | MS. VILLA: |
| 4 |  | 4 | Okay. So, we're approving the annual |
| 5 |  | 5 | audited financial statements, which had an |
| 6 |  | 6 | unmodified opinion. We are approving -- |
| 7 |  | 7 | MR. REINE: |
| 8 |  | 8 | No findings? |
| 9 |  | 9 | MS. VILLA: |
| 10 |  | 10 | No findings. |
| 11 |  | 11 | MR. REINE: |
| 12 |  | 12 | Okay. |
| 13 |  | 13 | MS. VILLA: |
| 14 |  | 14 | We're approving the evaluations that |
| 15 |  | 15 | changed it on the investment funds that we |
| 16 |  | 16 | have. |
| 17 |  | 17 | MR. REINE: |
| 18 |  | 18 | Okay. |
| 19 |  | 19 | MS. VILLA: |
| 20 |  | 20 | And then the three charge-offs that |
| 21 |  | 21 | we have. |
| 22 |  | 22 | MR. REINE: |
| 23 |  | 23 | And the three charge-offs are? |
| 24 |  | 24 | MS. VILLA: |
| 25 |  | 25 | Crystal will have to come back up and |
|  |  |  | Page 37 |


| 1 | read those to the board. | 1 | first six months. In light of this |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. REINE: | 2 | increased demand, the company added three |
| 3 | Just give me the names and amounts. | 3 | new jobs. |
| 4 | MS. DALGO: | 4 | The purpose of the loan request is to |
| 5 | Okay. The first one is Audubon | 5 | purchase a new truck. Currently, the |
| 6 | Capital Fund. It costs 1.4 and we are | 6 | company rents vehicles to transport |
| 7 | carrying it at zero. The Qcorps | 7 | essential equipment to the job sites. The |
| 8 | Residential Incorporated, 95,000. We're | 8 | average road cost per week is $\$ 1,000$. |
| 9 | carrying it at zero. And Sterifx was | 9 | This vehicle purchase will reduce the |
| 10 | 128,000, and we're carrying it at zero. | 10 | company's transportation costs as well |
| 11 | MR. REINE: | 11 | create eight new jobs. |
| 12 | I'll make a motion to approve all of | 12 | In terms of the complete portfolio, |
| 13 | this. | 13 | Carter Credit Union has \$365,447 in loans; |
| 14 | MR. JACKSON: | 14 | Essential Federal Credit Union 235,000, |
| 15 | Aye. | 15 | JEDCO, \$1,079,065; Newport, \$63,000. The |
| 16 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 16 | total current Micro Lending Program |
| 17 | Motion and a second to approve the | 17 | portfolio is $\$ 1,743,077$ with an average |
| 18 | recommendation of the finance committee | 18 | loan size of 51,267. |
| 19 | Any other discussion? | 19 | Any questions? |
| 20 | MS. VILLA: | 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | Thank you. | 21 | Second update on last board meeting, |
| 22 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 22 | you recall that we had two lenders that |
| 23 | Any comments from the public? | 23 | were up to terminating their Micro Lending |
| 24 | (No response.) | 24 | agreement, because they were not in |
| 25 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye? | 25 | satisfactory status of their year end |
|  | Page 38 |  | Page 40 |
| 1 | (All) Aye. | 1 | review. Since our last board meeting, |
| 2 | All opposed, nay? | 2 | both lenders have submitted loans for |
| 3 | (No response.) | 3 | consideration for the Micro Lending |
| 4 | Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Villa. | 4 | Program and will not be removed from the |
| 5 | MS. VILLA: | 5 | program. |
| 6 | Thank you. | 6 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 7 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 7 | Which two lenders were those? |
| 8 | Next order of business is the Micro | 8 | MS. PATE: |
| 9 | Lending Program, Shamelda. | 9 | That was at Lendistry as well as an |
| 10 | MS. PATE: | 10 | Olivier (spelled phonetically), New |
| 11 | Good morning. | 11 | Orleans business owners. |
| 12 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 12 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 13 | Good morning. | 13 | So Lendistry, that's the California |
| 14 | MS. PATE: | 14 | fire? |
| 15 | Shamelda Pate representing staff. | 15 | MS. PATE: |
| 16 | Since the last board meeting, we have had | 16 | Yes. |
| 17 | one loan approved for Carter Credit Union. | 17 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 18 | The Locke Family Group was approved for a | 18 | They did come through with a point |
| 19 | \$67,804 term loan. The company is located | 19 | of -- okay. |
| 20 | in Haughton, Louisiana. Locke Family | 20 | MS. PATE: |
| 21 | Group is a Jani King franchisee | 21 | And we're currently reviewing the |
| 22 | established in August of 2023. Jani King | 22 | loan from Lendistry. They explained to |
| 23 | is the leader in commercial cleaning | 23 | us, as we stated last week, that they were |
| 24 | services industry. The company has had | 24 | working through setting up their systems |
| 25 | substantial growth in sales within its | 25 | and processes. So the first one, we're |
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| 1 | coaching them through the process. So a | 1 | staff is -- excuse me -- and has been |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | strong encouragement from our last board | 2 | submitted back to Ochsner for review and |
| 3 | meeting resulted in an application. | 3 | it's currently under Ochsner's review for |
| 4 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 4 | execution. |
| 5 | Anything else? | 5 | Grind Capital, Invest Minerva |
| 6 | MS. PATE: | 6 | Propeller, Tulane Innovation, Greater New |
| 7 | No, sir. | 7 | Orleans Regional Growth Fund, also known |
| 8 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 8 | as GNO Inc, Biofund LP, also known as |
| 9 | Okay. | 9 | NOBIC, and LSU Health Foundation are all |
| 10 | MS. PATE: | 10 | Seed funds that have submitted investment |
| 11 | Thank you. | 11 | documentation that is currently under |
| 12 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 12 | review by staff. |
| 13 | Thank you so much. | 13 | In regards to the venture funds, LSU |
| 14 | Louisiana Equity Capital Program | 14 | Health Foundation Venture Fund and |
| 15 | update. | 15 | Reconstruction Fund One, has submitted |
| 16 | Good morning. | 16 | investment documentation and they are also |
| 17 | MS. ALRIDGE: | 17 | currently under review by staff. |
| 18 | Good morning. My name is Shareka | 18 | I would also like to provide an |
| 19 | Alridge. I'll be providing an update on | 19 | update, a followup on request that Ms. |
| 20 | Equity today. | 20 | Susan (indiscernible) submitted to the |
| 21 | Since our last board meeting, several | 21 | board during the last board meeting, and |
| 22 | funds have submitted additional investment | 22 | at the last board meeting -- at the last |
| 23 | transactions under the Seed Program. | 23 | board meeting, it was requested to remove |
| 24 | Booth64 Magnolia has completed a third | 24 | four Seed funds due to not submitting a |
| 25 | Capital Call request in the amount of <br> Page 42 | 25 | letter of intent by December 31, 2023. <br> Page 44 |
| 1 | \$400,000. They've also submitted one | 1 | Just a reminder of who those funds are, |
| 2 | additional investment, which is a product | 2 | it's Events and Capital partners, E2JDJ, |
| 3 | enhancement company. This investment is | 3 | LSU/LSU Foundation, and Revelry Venture |
| 4 | currently under review by staff. Once | 4 | Partners. Staff has made an effort to |
| 5 | Boot64 has this investment approved by | 5 | contact all funds via email and by phone |
| 6 | staff that would bring their total | 6 | as directed by the board on the last staff |
| 7 | investments to eight, totaling the amount | 7 | meeting -- excuse me -- on the last board |
| 8 | of \$975,000. | 8 | meeting. |
| 9 | New Orleans Startup Fund has | 9 | Benson Capital has been in contact |
| 10 | submitted one new working capital | 10 | with one of LED's project managers. The |
| 11 | investment that is currently under review | 11 | business incentives manager then attempted |
| 12 | by staff, bringing New Orleans Startup | 12 | to contact Mr. Mike, a representative of |
| 13 | fund to a total of three investments | 13 | Benson Capital, and is currently waiting |
| 14 | totaling \$200,000. | 14 | final response of Benson's Capital |
| 15 | Greaux Innovation Ventures submitted | 15 | participation into the Seed program. |
| 16 | one new research and development company | 16 | Staff has also been in contact with |
| 17 | that is currently under review by staff, | 17 | Mr. George from E2JDJ and he has advised |
| 18 | bringing Greaux's total investments to | 18 | that his fund would like to participate. |
| 19 | three investments in the amount of | 19 | However, they do believe that there's a |
| 20 | \$300,000. | 20 | lot of red tape and rules and guidance |
| 21 | Momentum the Idea Village submitted | 21 | that they do not agree with. Therefore, |
| 22 | two investments, a staffing company and a | 22 | at this time they will not be |
| 23 | technology company totaling \$575,000. | 23 | participating. |
| 24 | Ochsner Venture C has submitted all | 24 | Andrew Moss with LSU/LSU Foundation |
| 25 | documents for their funding agreement and Page 43 | 25 | advised that they are having difficulty Page 45 |


| 1 | raising the capital, and is aware that he |  | LSU Health foundation has submitted their |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | may reapply during another RFQ process. | 2 | documentation and are currently under |
|  | Revelry has not responded back to any | 3 | review by staff. |
|  | contact attempt before via email or by |  | Do you have any questions? |
| 5 | phone. | 5 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 6 | Additionally, staff requested for th | 6 | Questions? Comments? |
|  | pre-approval to remove the following funds |  | MR. DAVID: |
| 8 | if said funds did not submit documentation | 8 | 'll say thanks for following up on |
| 9 | by April first. Just to recap those | 9 | all those successful and (indiscernible). |
| 10 | funds: It's Biomedical Research | 10 | CHARIMAN ROY |
| 1 | Foundation, Greater New Orleans, LSU |  | So did we draw any conclusions |
| 12 | Health Foundation, New Orleans | 12 | relative to our communication stream in |
| 13 | Bioinnovation, Nicholls State University | 13 | that we learned anything in particular, |
| 14 | and Opportunity Machine. Greater New | 14 | learned that we needed to tweak anything |
| 15 | Orleans, LSU Health foundation and New | 15 | or actually didn't? Just curious. |
| 16 | Orleans Bioinnovation have all submitted | 16 | MS. RANEY: |
| 17 | documents by our said date of April first | 17 | So when we followed up, there was |
| 18 | and they're all currently under review. | 18 | real reasons that each of the funds |
| 19 | Nicholls State University has been | 19 | expressed to the staff as to why they did |
| 20 | granted an extension due to the complexity | 20 | not followup and pursue their |
| 21 | of setting up their fund. They've been | 21 | participation. The way the communication |
| 22 | given a 90-day extension. | 22 | was given to them back in November and |
| 23 | Opportunity Machine advise | 23 | December was left for them to submit the |
| 24 | they're having difficulty raising capital | 24 | documentation, as well as identify their |
| 25 | and was made aware that they can reapply | 25 | cash or commitments available to match |
|  | Page 46 |  | Page 48 |
| 1 | during the RFP process. <br> Biomedical Research Foundation submitted a formal letter advising that they decline their participation into the program. <br> In regards to the venture capital, staff requested the removal of the following venture funds due to no contact: Calais Capital, LSU/LSU Foundation, and Lafayette Square Holding. Staff has made an effort to contact all funds via email and phone. As stated before, LSU/LSU Foundation stated they are having difficulty raising the capital. Calais Capital and Lafayette Square Holding have not responded back to any of our contact attempts via phone or email. Staff previously requested to have the following funds removed if documentation was not received by our April first deadline, which are: Biomedical Research Foundation, LSU Health Foundation. Biomedical Research foundation stated in a formal letter declining their participation in the venture program and |  | against our SSBCI funding. What we have |
| 2 |  | 2 | learned in the conversations is because of |
| 3 |  | 3 | either challenges in fundraising or |
| 4 |  | 4 | because some funds were concerned about |
| 5 |  | 5 | the level of guidance that is required to |
| 6 |  | 6 | adhere in order to participate. They |
| 7 |  | 7 | chose not to reapply and that was their |
| 8 |  | 8 | decision. |
| 9 |  | 9 | And there are some who have sent |
| 10 |  | 10 | their information and we're currently |
| 11 |  | 11 | undergoing inventory. We're reviewing |
| 12 |  | 12 | what they've sent us, making sure we have |
| 13 |  | 13 | completed packages from each of those |
| 14 |  | 14 | funds that Shareka named, and just as |
| 15 |  | 15 | important, if not more so, making sure |
| 16 |  | 16 | they identify cash flow commitments |
| 17 |  | 17 | available as private capital to match to |
| 18 |  | 18 | our SSBCI funding. |
| 19 |  | 19 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 20 |  | 20 | Very good. I'll echo what Mr. David |
| 21 |  | 21 | said. Good effort. Thank you. |
| 22 |  | 22 | Next order of business is the -- Ms. |
| 23 |  | 23 | Villa |
| 24 |  | 24 | MS. VILLA: |
| 25 |  | 25 | Secretary Treasurer's Report as of |
|  |  |  | Page 49 |


| 1 | April 5, 2024, our overall budget for FY | 1 | programs, we're asking each of these |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 24 is \$59,092,072. We have approved | 2 | funds, if they are a nonprofit fund |
| 3 | projected expenditures of 7,586,656 -- | 3 | status, we're asking them to match two |
| 4 | 657. My apologies. And then we have the | 4 | dollars of their private capital money to |
| 5 | board approval or pending approval at the | 5 | every one dollar that we disburse for |
| 6 | time was $\$ 375,000$ for the project. And we | 6 | SSBCI funding. And if it is a for-profit |
| 7 | have a current project center review of | 7 | fund entity, we are actually asking them |
| 8 | 4,075,000 of EDAPs, which gives us one | 8 | to match four dollars of their private |
| 9 | project or seven for the -- | 9 | capital money to every one dollar of SSBCI |
| 10 | MR. REINE: | 10 | funding. And from the feedback that we |
| 11 | Is that one project or seven? | 11 | have received, it appears that of those |
| 12 | MS. VILLA: | 12 | that have decided to not pursue, there |
| 13 | The four million -- | 13 | were quite a few on the venture capital |
| 14 | MR. REINE: | 14 | side initially. And I think the |
| 15 | Yes. | 15 | fundraising challenges is the main reason |
| 16 | MS. VILLA: | 16 | the guidance and feedback we received |
| 17 | Seven. Which gives us a year end | 17 | about the red tape that applies to both |
| 18 | projected balance of $47,055,415$. We'll go | 18 | Seed capital and venture capital. So, I'd |
| 19 | to the details in the next few pages. | 19 | love to only conclude on the venture |
| 20 | On the next page is your State Small | 20 | capital side that it must be, in addition |
| 21 | Business Credit Initiative Program and | 21 | to that red tape, the fundraising |
| 22 | your Financial Assistance Program broken | 22 | challenge for them to match. |
| 23 | out into each of the categories between | 23 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 24 | the venture capital, Seed capital, | $24$ | So the 11 million that's allocated to |
|  | collateral support loan guarantee. That Page 50 | 25 | that piece of it is that material to us Page 52 |
| 1 | projected budget for the year is | 1 | being able to hit our benchmarks that we |
| 2 | 28,042,617, with approved projected | 2 | need to get to draw down additional funds? |
| 3 | expenditures of $3,019,1034$, which gives us | 3 | MS. RANEY: |
| 4 | a balance of $25,023,483$ expected by the | 4 | It is. However, I just want to |
| 5 | end of the fiscal year. | 5 | remind you that we do have the ability to |
| 6 | MR. JACKSON: | 6 | in between the same tranche, move money |
| 7 | That venture capital program is just | 7 | between programs to make sure that we have |
| 8 | not taking off; is it? | 8 | appropriate funding. And also we do have |
| 9 | MS. VILLA: | 9 | the ability with the US Treasury office to |
| 10 | Well, I think that we had until April | 10 | actually modify our application if needed |
| 11 | first was the time to get the stuff in. I | 11 | if we need to shift maybe more funding to |
| 12 | think it's -- they have to raise the money | 12 | safe Seed and take away from venture if |
| 13 | first before they come to the State for | 13 | the demand is not there, we have that |
| 14 | use into the SSBCI program. I think that | 14 | ability. |
| 15 | that's the first hurdle is getting that | 15 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 16 | funding raised. I think that that could | 16 | And I mean on Seed, it doesn't look |
| 17 | have been the large hurdle that they had. | 17 | like demand is there yet. What do we do |
| 18 | MS. RANEY: | 18 | to plug that? |
| 19 | That's the biggest hurdle on the | 19 | MS. RANEY: |
| 20 | venture capital side as opposed to the | 20 | I have a great update for you under |
| 21 | Seed capital side. Under our Seed | 21 | the "Other Business" section. |
| 22 | program, we're asking the funds just to | 22 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 23 | match one dollar to every one dollar of | 23 | That's fine. |
| 24 | SSBCI funding that they receive. | 24 | MR. DAVID: |
| 25 | Whereas, under our venture capital | 25 | Kelly, is that -- when they have to |
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| 1 | match is that a carve-out or is that | 1 | Is that a new one for Boise Cascade? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | actual escrow? | 2 | No, I thought we addressed one. |
| 3 | MS. RANEY: | 3 | MS. VILLA: |
| 4 | So when they have to match, that's | 4 | No, that's the same one. Those are |
| 5 | actually a commitment that we make and | 5 | approved already. That's in the approved |
| 6 | it's a carve-out. But the way that we're | 6 | column. |
|  | actually executing our funding agreements | 7 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 8 | is that we are only committing what they | 8 | Oh, approved/projected? |
| 9 | have available at that time. While they | 9 | MS. VILLA: |
| 10 | may be shooting for an overall $\$ 10$ million | 10 | Yes. Yeah. Yeah. |
| 11 | Seed fund, five million private capital, | 11 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 12 | five million from us as SSBCI, if they're | 12 | Yeah, there's 40,000 difference. I |
| 13 | only able to raise, say, one million of | 13 | was looking in the wrong column. Sorry |
| 14 | cash or commitments, that's all that we | 14 | about that. |
| 15 | are committing at that point in time. And | 15 | MS. VILLA: |
| 16 | then once we make the commitment, the | 16 | No worries. |
| 17 | fund, they are able to make capital calls | 17 | MR. REINE: |
| 18 | to draw that down. As they raise more | 18 | So, 400,000 Superior Group of |
| 19 | private capital, they're able to come back | 19 | companies without relay note at the |
| 20 | and request that additional SSBCI | 20 | bottom, without you getting balance -- |
| 21 | commitment from us also. They can ask for | 21 | MS. VILLA: |
| 22 | more than one million if they have that | 22 | Increase due to the rescinding of the |
| 23 | private capital and we'll commit more. | 23 | contract of Superior. They elected not to |
| $24$ | For example, there's one fund that's | $24$ | accept that. MR REINE. |
|  | Page 54 |  | Page 56 |
| 1 | five million, and we will execute the full | 1 | What about Focus Food? |
| 2 | five million. | 2 | MS. VILLA: |
| 3 | MS. VILLA: | 3 | Focus Food. |
| 4 | Great. Thank you, Kelly. | 4 | MR. REINE: |
| 5 | So the next on the Secretary of | 5 | First one on the list. |
| 6 | Treasury's Report is our Small Business | 6 | (Indiscernible) LLC Doing Businesses As |
| 7 | Innovation Research Funds. The budget for | 7 | Focus Foods? |
| 8 | FY24 is 1,664,528. We have approved | 8 | MS. VILLA: |
| 9 | projected expenditures of 532,523, which | 9 | Yeah, I think that's the same type of |
| 10 | leaves an expected year end balance of | 10 | situation. They just selected not to move |
| 11 | \$1,132,005. | 11 | forward with the EDAP. |
| 12 | Our capital outlay appropriation for | 12 | Then we go to our general |
| 13 | our EDAP award is on the next page. And | 13 | appropriation for FY24. We have a fund |
| 14 | we have a budget for FY24 of \$29,384,927, | 14 | balance available at $\$ 55,751,746$ with the |
| 15 | and we have approved projected | 15 | project commitments of $17,324,682$, which |
| 16 | expenditures of 4,035,000. We did approve | 16 | leaves a general appropriation fund |
| 17 | Gulf Wind Technologies earlier today at | 17 | balance available, expected at |
| 18 | 375,000 , which leaves a set balance of | 18 | \$38,427,064. |
| 19 | \$24,974,927. | 19 | Any questions regarding the Secretary |
| 20 | And to answer your question, Mr. | 20 | Treasurer's report? |
| 21 | Reine, those are the projects that we have | 21 | MR. REINE: |
| 22 | under review, that \$4,075,000 which gives | 22 | Motion accept to report. |
| 23 | us ending projected balance of | 23 | MS. VILLA: |
| 24 | \$20,899,927. | 24 | Thank you. |
| 25 | MR. JACKSON: | 25 | MR. DAVID: |
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| 1 | Second. | 1 | SSBCI 2.0 Guarantee Loan loss is reflected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 2 | at the current rate of 18 percent and |
| 3 | Motion to second. | 3 | totals \$105,686. |
| 4 | Any discussion? | 4 | And that concludes my report. Are |
| 5 | (No response.) | 5 | there any questions? |
| 6 | Any comments from the public? | 6 | MR. REINE: |
| 7 | (No response.) | 7 | NOLA Detox has two loans? |
| 8 | Hearing none all in favor aye? | 8 | MS. DALGO: |
| 9 | (All) Aye. | 9 | No, it is one loan. At the time of |
| 10 | All opposed, nay? | 10 | the loan request approval, there was an |
| 11 | (No response.) | 11 | amount left over in the 1.0 portfolio |
| 12 | Without objection. Thank you. | 12 | needed to use up, so we put a portion of |
| 13 | Ms. Dalgo, Accountant's Report. | 13 | it in 1.0 and the rest of it in this |
| 14 | MS. DALGO: | 14 | recycle in the guaranteed loan portfolio. |
| 15 | I'm Crystal Dalgo. I'll be | 15 | MR. REINE: |
| 16 | presenting to you the LEDC Accountant | 16 | And then 2.0 loans Build Commercial |
| 17 | Status Report. | 17 | Construction and Jet Distribution, they |
| 18 | In the SSBCI 1.0 Guaranteed Loan | 18 | show zeros, so they haven't made the loans |
| 19 | Portfolio, we have six loans remaining and | 19 | or? |
| 20 | they are reported here as of February 29, | 20 | MS. DALGO: |
| 21 | 2024, the portfolio total is \$1,329,454. | 21 | Well, I'm glad you asked because |
| 22 | The allowance for the SSBCI 1.0 Guaranteed | 22 | that's a good question. I figured I |
| 23 | Loan losses from this reporting period is | 23 | needed to say something about that soon. |
| 24 | \$239,302 and it's reflected at the current | 24 | Well, it's -- they both -- they're both |
| 25 | rate of 18 percent. | 25 | letter of credits. And we have since like |
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| 1 | On the next page we have the EDAP Loan Portfolio. It consists of four loans and as they are as of March 31, 2024, it totals two \$2,671,950. North Webster Parish IntegriCo does have their second annual payment due of 150,000 . We did grant them an extension, and are currently waiting on their deposit to come through. And also here for St. Martin Parish-Forum, they have since made their second $\$ 8,000$ annual payment and will be reflected on my next report. The allowance for the EDAP loan losses is $\$ 400,793$ and is reflected at the current rate of 15 percent. <br> And on the third page we have the LEDC Funds Guaranteed Loan Portfolio that consists of three loans and the portfolio totals $\$ 2,512,617$ and is reported as of February 29, 2024. The allowance for this portfolio totals $\$ 452,271$ and is reflected at the current rate of 18 percent. <br> And lastly, we have the SSBCI 2.0 Guaranteed Loan Portfolio. As of February 29, 2024, it consists of five loans and totals $\$ 587,143$. The allowance for the | 1 | last week, we verified with the banks that |
| 2 |  | 2 | they are both letter of credit, yes. And |
| 3 |  | 3 | there are no, currently no draws on the |
| 4 |  | 4 | loan. That's why they're zero. |
| 5 |  | 5 | MS. RANEY: |
| 6 |  | 6 | Quick correction, they are line of |
| 7 |  | 7 | credits. |
| 8 |  | 8 | MS. DALGO: |
| 9 |  | 9 | Yeah. |
| 10 |  | 10 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 11 |  | 11 | Any other questions? |
| 12 |  | 12 | Obtain a motion to accept the |
| 13 |  | 13 | accounting report? |
| 14 |  | 14 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 15 |  | 15 | Motion. |
| 16 |  | 16 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 17 |  | 17 | Motion for our acceptance as -- |
| 18 |  | 18 | MR. DAVID: |
| 19 |  | 19 | Second. |
| 20 |  | 20 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 21 |  | 21 | -- delivered. A second. Any other |
| 22 |  | 22 | discussion? |
| 23 |  | 23 | (No response.) |
| 24 |  | 24 | Any comments from the public? |
| 25 |  | 25 | (No response.) |
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|  | ing none all in favor, aye? |  | vide more resources for small |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (All) Aye | 2 | inesses in our state so that they can |
|  | All opposed, nay |  | more successful. Louisiana -- and |
|  | (No response |  | t to you |
|  | Thank you. |  | and say that Louisiana is leading other |
|  | MS. VILLA |  | states when it comes to programs and |
|  | The Secre |  | ices that support our small busines |
|  | as unable to make the board meeting th | 8 | and their livelihood. We have a better |
|  | morning. So I can give you just a couple | 9 | ccess rate than other than the nation |
| 10 | updates regarding some rec | 10 | rage on small businesses, and small |
|  | announcements that we -- that we've seen | 11 | inesses are the fabric of our economy |
| 12 | this past week | 12 | They support a lot of our large industries |
| 13 | We had an India based pipe and tube | 13 | that we have here. So welcome -- welcome |
|  | anufacturer that selected northwest | 14 | em here. They've been touring and |
| 15 | Louisiana for the first US facility and | 15 | meeting with some of the businesses that |
| 16 | create 135 jobs in Mansfield, Louisiana, | 16 | they've been suffering along the way. And |
|  | so that was great. That's Global Seamless | 17 | e continue to work with them to develop |
| 18 | Tubes and Pipes. They're leading | 18 | ew programs to kind of go to the next |
| 19 | manufacturer of corbin, ally and stainless | 19 | stage. So I'm really excited for them to |
| 20 | steel seamless pipe. So that's great. | 20 | be in tow |
| 21 | And then we also had here -- excus | 21 | And then other tha |
| 22 | me -- in Baton Rouge, we had the Baton | 22 | -ntinuing with the restructuring that |
| 2 | Rouge Automation Manufacturers. They' | 23 | e're having at LED. We announced three |
| 24 | going to expand their headquarters a | 24 | w positions after our board meeting that |
| 25 | create 75 new jobs. So that -- that's | 25 | we had. The Secretary at the last board |
|  | Page 62 |  | Page |
|  | really great. That's -- those are two things that have happened just this month. <br> We also have in town this week the Edward Lowe Foundation, and we are highlighting our spotlight -- spotlight -I can't talk today -- spotlight -- or maybe I've talked too much today -- our spotlight event this evening at the Hilton. So, Edward Lowe Foundation has been partnering with Louisiana Economic Development for about 13 years now. And us and they have created programs to really support our small businesses. And recently, probably, I think about three or four years ago, we created the LED net growth, the LED Growth Network. And those are companies that are kind of in that second stage growth phase of their, what, you know, their business is like. And so we recognize them. Every year we select some of those companies that we see as that second stage, and we recognize them and celebrate them. And so, we're doing that tonight. And then Edward Lowe is continuing the partnership with LED to |  | eting talked about how we were doing |
| 2 |  | 2 | ngs internally with the LED to |
| 3 |  | 3 | estructure our business. And so we |
| 4 |  | 4 | reated three positions. The Chief |
| 5 |  | 5 | novation Officer, which a lot of what |
| 6 |  | 6 | is board does regarding small businesses |
| 7 |  | 7 | and the SSBCI program would follow that |
| 8 |  | 8 | vertical. And then we're also looking for |
| 9 |  | 9 | hief Business Development Officer who |
| 10 |  | 10 | ill do all things related to expansion, |
| 11 |  | 11 | retention, and attraction in internationa |
| 12 |  | 12 | ork as well. We've got one vertical and |
| 13 |  | 13 | that's going to be a more holistic |
| 14 |  | 14 | approach to our business development |
| 15 |  | 15 | delivery services from the time that we |
| 16 |  | 16 | were trying to get the business to either |
| 17 |  | 17 | expand or relocate here to recruiting of |
| 18 |  | 18 | the employees to help with them as well as |
| 19 |  | 19 | administering the incentives, that's going |
| 20 |  | 20 | to fall underneath that vertical. An |
| 21 |  | 21 | then the third vertical is just everything |
| 22 |  | 22 | related to economic competitiveness. And |
| 23 |  | 23 | we're going to have a Chief Economic |
| 24 |  | 24 | Development Officer that we're trying to |
| 25 |  | 25 | hire today. And so, those are three of |
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| 1 | the leading positions that we're going to | 1 | streamlining of the local process I think |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | be reporting as Secretary. This | 2 | was a positive reaction to it as well. |
| 3 | development officer is going to report | 3 | Where they have one body basically now |
| 4 | into me as Deputy Secretary. What we're | 4 | versus a company having to go to several |
| 5 | doing with the deputy secretary role is | 5 | bodies for approval, it's a one body for |
| 6 | really expanding what the Undersecretary | 6 | the parish. So I think that that was very |
| 7 | was doing with Office of Management and | 7 | well received as well. |
| 8 | Finance and Administration of our | 8 | Any questions? |
| 9 | operations when it came to incentives. | 9 | (No response.) |
| 10 | That's going to be part of my | 10 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 11 | responsibilities as well as the business | 11 | The SSBCI update for Mr. Jackson. |
| 12 | development services side. So we can | 12 | MS. RANEY: |
| 13 | really have, like I said, from the time | 13 | Good morning. Kelly Raney. Since |
| 14 | that we recruit or expand the business to | 14 | our last board meeting, the staff has |
| 15 | the time that they draw down their last | 15 | participated in a number of additional |
| 16 | reporting requirement to us for the | 16 | outbound marketing opportunities. |
| 17 | programs or incentives that they receive, | 17 | Specifically, we have participated in the |
| 18 | we're going to make that under one | 18 | CTAT Coastal Day at LSU last month in |
| 19 | vertical. | 19 | March. We also participated in New |
| 20 | So lots of exciting things. Our bill | 20 | Orleans' Accessing Capital as a Small |
| 21 | dropped last week I believe, and I think | 21 | Business Owner put on by the New Orleans |
| 22 | it's going to be heard at the Senate | 22 | Ernest N. Morial Convention Center. We |
| 23 | Finance this coming Wednesday. It's | 23 | participated in an event in Crowley called |
| 24 | supposed to be heard yesterday, but | 24 | Prosper Acadiana that was to local |
| 25 | because of the storms it was delayed. | 25 | business owners there. And then last, we |
| 1 | Senator Mizell is carrying that bill and | 1 | also participated in an event here in |
| 2 | it's next Wednesday, yeah. | 2 | Baton Rouge that was to the SBIR-STTR |
| 3 | MR. REINE: | 3 | audience that was called America's Seed |
| 4 | Have y'all heard any response | 4 | Fund Tours hosted by the Louisiana |
| 5 | positively, negatively, or otherwise about | 5 | Technology Transfer office. We also |
| 6 | changes to the Industrial Tax Exemption? | 6 | continue to market in the LBA newsletter. |
| 7 | MS. VILLA: | 7 | We had another submission in their |
| 8 | I think most feedback we receive is | 8 | newsletter last month that rolled out the |
| 9 | positive questions too. I was -- really | 9 | last week of March highlighting all of our |
| 10 | been carrying that load working with the | 10 | SSBCI programs and just providing an |
| 11 | feedback and comments that we have been | 11 | update for each of those programs as well. |
| 12 | received, but I think for the most part | 12 | And each month we continue to provide a |
| 13 | removing the job requirement I think a lot | 13 | program update and highlight one of our |
| 14 | of people felt as though that | 14 | SSBCI programs in our in-house LED Small |
| 15 | constitutionally it was an investment tax. | 15 | Business Services newsletter too. |
| 16 | We as the State do have Quality Jobs | 16 | In addition to that, last month since |
| 17 | Program which is related to job creation, | 17 | our last board meeting, we trained two |
| 18 | and that the industrial tax extension is | 18 | additional banks, Synergy Bank and Regions |
| 19 | more around investment. So I think that | 19 | Bank, on these programs. And we also have |
| 20 | that was a positive side comment that | 20 | another banker training that's planned for |
| 21 | we've received. | 21 | this for today at 2:00. I don't think the |
| 22 | MS. PORTER: | 22 | ladies have gotten my email yet about |
| 23 | Yes, I know -- | 23 | that. Just came in and got coordinated |
| 24 | MS. VILLA: | 24 | while this board meeting started. |
| 25 | Streamlining of the locals, | 25 | Outside of that, we have one equity |
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|  | fund that's currently routing for |  | got an answer. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | execution, which would add another five | 2 | MS. RANEY: |
|  | million in equity commitments to our | 3 | We're actually exploring another |
|  | totals, which I'd like to share with you | 4 | opportunity. I don't know if that's what |
|  | So right now, Mr. Jackson, I know you | 5 | you were going to mention. We're |
| 6 | had a question about the dollar amounts | 6 | exploring another opportunity in order to |
|  | that were indicated on the Secretary | 7 | entice more funds into our state that |
| 8 | Treasurer's Report, and you had made | 8 | currently have the deep pockets with the |
| 9 | comment about that venture capital zero | 9 | money in it, so they don't need to go |
| 10 | and I had talked a little bit earlier | 10 | raising money on the streets. And this |
| 11 | about our flexibility to move money as | 11 | particular consultant that we're speaking |
| 12 | needed to make funding available inter | 12 | to and exploring his services is |
| 13 | tranche. And then I also mentioned that | 13 | internationally recognized in working with |
| 14 | we do have the flexibility to actually | 14 | founders who meet the study designation. |
| 15 | formally modify our application with U | 15 | So very mission aligned for the SSBCI |
| 16 | Treasury Office to move larger dollars | 16 | program as well, has some very interesting |
| 17 | between programs if needed. | 17 | ideas. And so, I'm not concerned about |
| 18 | And so, right now where we a | 18 | that. If this is our progress thus far, |
| 19 | currently at, with our transactions that | 19 | one year since our launch -- this is |
| 20 | we have received being, a year into our | 20 | supposed to be a ten-year program, so our |
| 21 | launch under all five SSBCI programs, even | 21 | progress is moving nicely now that we have |
| 22 | though venture is not carrying its own | 22 | some equity funds who have signed up and |
| 23 | weight yet, between the funds that have | 23 | that catapults our level of commitment to |
| 24 | been extended or obligated or transferred, | 24 | make into those small businesses. |
| 25 | that totals rounded 18.75 million, which | 25 | MR. JACKSON: |
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| 1 | is essentially 57 percent of that tranche one total, which is a huge progress towards that 80 percent goal. So, very short order, once we get this new funding agreement fully executed, adding another five million to that total, that brings it up to the 23 , and that actually puts us at 72.2 percent. So, we will be very well positioned to receive that second tranche of funding, but we do need venture capital to pull its weight so that we can achieve our overall big picture leverage goal of ten to one. That may be an aspirational goal for the Treasury Office, but it is a goal nonetheless that they asked of each of the participating jurisdictions, and that was to be taken into account when you designed your program. <br> And so, that's my report for you, sir. Any questions? <br> MR. JACKSON: <br> So, if we can't get that program using the funds that we've allocated for it, what's our fallback for getting that ten to one aspiration goal? I know you |  | So this -- you're talking about |
| 2 |  | 2 | investments still into Louisiana not just |
| 3 |  | 3 | in the city? |
| 4 |  | 4 | MS. RANEY: |
| 5 |  | 5 | That's correct. We would be looking |
| 6 |  | 6 | at ways that we can attract other states' |
| 7 |  | 7 | money into our state to invest in |
| 8 |  | 8 | businesses. |
| 9 |  | 9 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 10 |  | 10 | Louisiana businesses. |
| 11 |  | 11 | MS. GUESS: |
| 12 |  | 12 | Yeah, and I was going to mention some |
| 13 |  | 13 | of that as well. But one of the other |
| 1 |  | 14 | things, especially keep in mind, this is a |
| 15 |  | 15 | seven to ten-year program, and this is |
| 16 |  | 16 | after one year and probably looked a |
| 17 |  | 17 | little bleak after being in about six |
| 18 |  | 18 | months |
| 19 |  | 19 | One of the things that we also can |
| 20 |  | 20 | look at and have been considering is |
| 21 |  | 21 | that -- and we made you guys aware several |
| 22 |  | 22 | months ago -- that we had to move money |
| 23 |  | 23 | from one or two the other programs from |
| 24 |  | 24 | venture to put into our Micro Lending |
| 25 |  | 25 | Program. And I think JEDCO is at its |
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| 1 | maximum. And there are other, the micro | 1 | MS. RANEY: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | lenders that are looking to expend the | 2 | Yes, sir. |
| 3 | cash that they have and those that are | 3 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 4 | looking to possibly get some more. So, | 4 | It would seem that the biggest -- |
| 5 | this is very encouraging after year one. | 5 | because if you have the transfer option, |
| 6 | So I think we'll be content. | 6 | that's big, you just move stuff around. |
| 7 | MR. JACKSON: | 7 | MS. RANEY: |
| 8 | I know it's a long term program, but | 8 | That's what we did with our |
| 9 | the drawdown metrics are very short term, | 9 | micro-loan program. |
| 10 | right? | 10 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 1 | MS. GUESS: | 11 | Right. So I think maybe Brenda's |
| 12 | Right. As far as getting accepted | 12 | fully answered the question before I ask |
| 13 | tranche, right. | 13 | that particular question. It would seem |
| 14 | (Indiscernible, multiple parties | 14 | that the test you just gave the two |
| 15 | speaking at once.) | 15 | applicants or two participants, I guess, |
| 16 | MR. JACKSON: | 16 | would be more accurate program, would be |
| 17 | Yes, when it is financial long term | 17 | most difficult perhaps of all the |
| 18 | you gotta hit short term -- | 18 | criteria, because if you can move money |
| 19 | MS. VILLA: | 19 | around seems like that affords you great |
| 20 | But I think Kelly has some really | 20 | flexibility in doing and achieving the |
| 21 | good estimates as to when we feel we're | 21 | test is necessary to draw down. |
| 22 | gonna draw down that second tranche. So, 22 | 22 | MS. RANEY: |
| 23 | and I think it'll be before the deadline | 23 | Believe it or not, I think the most |
| 24 | most definitely. | 24 | challenging thing right now is getting all |
| 25 | So, Kelly, you may want to -- | 25 | of the equity funds to submit a completed |
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| 1 | MS. RANEY: | 1 | package to include how much money do they |
| 2 | So the two -- the two hurdles that we | 2 | have available to match to our SSBCI |
| 3 | must meet in order to pull the trigger and | 3 | dollar. That has been the most |
| 4 | request our tranche two is showing | 4 | challenging thing at this particular point |
| 5 | treasury that we've either extended, | 5 | in time. We're finding that once the |
| 6 | obligated, and/or transferred at least 80 | 6 | funds have executed their funding |
| 7 | percent of our first tranche and have two | 7 | agreements, because of the coaching and |
| 8 | transactions under each of our programs. | 8 | the parameters that we put forth with them |
| 9 | So right now, we're waiting on that | 9 | in place, we have the expectation, and |
| 10 | first venture fund to come back and get | 10 | they are aware we have the expectation, |
| 11 | fully on board so they can make two | 11 | that once we execute that funding |
| 12 | investments. At that time, I am | 12 | agreement because they've already |
| 13 | forecasting Q3 2024 that we will be at 80. | 13 | identified that cash and/or commitments, |
| 14 | In fact, I'm thinking we're probably going | 14 | they are to immediately start thinking |
| 15 | to be at 90 percent, so we will have a lot | 15 | about and preparing their first capital |
| 16 | of work to do over these next few months. | 16 | call. And in order to process and get |
| 17 | I think having the ability to get the | 17 | approved for that first capital call, |
| 18 | second tranche early on as we are will | 18 | we're asking that they have identified |
| 19 | only afford us more opportunity to seek | 19 | companies in their pipeline to invest in |
| 20 | out mission aligned, qualified venture | 20 | for roughly six to nine months worth so |
| 21 | funds that already have the money in their | 21 | that they can have a robust pipeline to |
| 22 | pockets. | 22 | work and pump money into those companies |
| 23 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 23 | without making the capital call request, |
| 24 | So the last thing you mentioned was | 24 | receiving the money, and then going find |
| 25 | transfer, I heard. | 25 | somebody to invest in. We approached it a |
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| 1 | little bit differently so they could move | 1 | the things that didn't happen, we thought |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | quickly once we gave them the money. | 2 | that the Collateral Support Program was |
| 3 | So it does take a bit longer on the | 3 | going to really take off, and it has not. |
| 4 | front end to get to that funding agreement | 4 | We are finding -- we're still pitching |
| 5 | stage and getting a completed package, but | 5 | that. We're participating with all of our |
| 6 | getting a completed package to include how | 6 | banks and other EO partners, talking about |
| 7 | much money they have to match to our | 7 | the collateral support. As interest rates |
| 8 | federal dollar is critical. And so, I | 8 | stay high -- in fact, there's even more |
| 9 | anticipate it will move much quickly once | 9 | interest in our loan guarantee program |
| 10 | they have the funding agreement executed, | 10 | than had anticipated and collateral |
| 11 | because we're prepping them to make their | 11 | support is just barely starting to catch |
| 12 | capital call, and they should already have | 12 | on. So there might be some adjustments in |
| 13 | six to nine months of companies on the | 13 | that if we look at the amount of the |
| 14 | books that they are required to show us | 14 | funding analysis, we have the green light |
| 15 | before we can enter into that agreement. | 15 | to go ahead and take off those venture |
| 16 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 16 | players who may not be going to |
| 17 | Subset of the answer you gave | 17 | participate. That still gives us a chance |
| 18 | relative to one of the difficulties is | 18 | to realign things. And we haven't really |
| 19 | getting two participants, but a subset of | 19 | had a chance to look that deep into it, |
| 20 | that is the minutiae you just went into -- | 20 | but we see some possible alignments. But |
| 21 | MS. RANEY: | 21 | we have to be careful because we can't go |
| 22 | I guess the short answer is no. | 22 | the threshold of doing more than ten |
| 23 | That's not a current challenge to your | 23 | percent before a full allocation -- I'm |
| 24 | question. Is it a challenge to have two | 24 | sorry -- modification of our application |
| 25 | providers under each? And it's not | 25 | with Treasury would have to take place. |
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| 1 | necessarily two providers, sir. It's | 1 | And we don't want to do anything that's |
| 2 | actually two transactions. So, for | 2 | going to stifle our forward progress. |
| 3 | example, when our venture capital fund is | 3 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 4 | online by them, making two investments in | 4 | So you can't just move any amount of |
| 5 | two companies and providing that | 5 | money between the two programs? |
| 6 | documentation to us will account for that | 6 | MS. GUESS: |
| 7 | two transaction hurdle for the venture | 7 | That's correct. |
| 8 | capital program. | 8 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 9 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 9 | Ten percent becomes a material |
| 10 | And it's getting them to fulfill the | 10 | change? |
| 11 | details of each program in those two? | 11 | MS. GUESS: |
| 12 | MS. RANEY: | 12 | That's correct. And we've already |
| 13 | That's correct. | 13 | made one that was under to 10 percent, so |
| 14 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 14 | that was not a concern. |
| 15 | That's the difficulty. And my | 15 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 16 | question for Brenda that I alluded to was: | 16 | Did we jack up the amount of the -- |
| 17 | To what extent might we see any other | 17 | that we are willing to accept in the |
| 18 | transfers going forward relative to a | 18 | Collateral Support Program? I can't |
| 19 | shift in funding? You mentioned | 19 | remember what our maximum number is at |
| 20 | micro-loan, but what else might we project | 20 | this point. |
| 21 | as we go that you can see based on the | 21 | MS. RANEY: |
| 22 | popularity of the programs or whatever? | 22 | The maximum collateral support is up |
| 23 | MS. GUESS: | 23 | to 50 percent. Dollar amount is 250,000 . |
| 24 | I think that we were still looking at | 24 | And so, so the way the Treasury office |
| 25 | the micro-loan program only. And one of Page 79 | 25 | counts those transactions under that Page 81 |


| 1 | $r$ program is based on the actual |  | re |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | cash deposit that's made at the time of | 2 | and she said she was going to think about |
| 3 | the loan closing. And then Treasury |  | the Collateral Support Program, and use |
| 4 | ows us to count the set aside that is |  | at for her farmers out there |
| 5 | placed on that same transaction. So, for | 5 | CHARIMAN ROY |
| 6 | collateral support, Treasury doesn't |  | I think it might be a little -- you |
| 7 | actually allow us to count the entire |  | ed to have a high enough amount to |
| 8 | collateral support amount. It is based on | 8 | tract bankers to such a program because |
| 9 | the actual cash movement or set aside that | 9 | it's, you know, if it's (indiscernible) - |
| 10 | takes place for each individual collateral | 10 | MS. GUESS: |
|  | support loan. |  | We'll look at it. We'll be more than |
| 12 | Now, something that I thought | 12 | happy to take a look at that and run some |
| 13 | that we constantly talk about with fiscal, | 13 | numbers and see, and it will probably |
| 14 | and it's just an idea in the air, we go | 14 | involve a rule change, so we can |
| 15 | back and forth, is increase the set | 15 | definitely look into that. |
| 16 | asides. That's the way to do that. But | 16 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 17 | we're thinking about those things. | 17 | Very good day. Anything else? |
| 18 | MR. JACKSON: | 18 | MS. RANEY: |
| 19 | Is the interest not | 19 | Well, (Indiscernible). I had to do |
| 2 | for the collateral support, why is it | 20 | an exercise for the Treasury office, and |
| 2 | being underutilized versus the guarantees? | 21 | so I had to actually put some real numbers |
| 22 | MS. RANEY | 22 | together instead of an estimate. And our |
| 2 | In my opinion -- I'm sorry. In | 23 | goal that the Treasury office gave us is |
| 2 | opinion, I think it's two-folded. I think | 2 | 54.89 percent. And so far, well, as of |
| 25 | last year, I think there was an economic <br> Page 82 | 25 | two weeks ago, we were at 83.5 percent. <br> Page 84 |
| 1 | impact where, because of the rising interest rates I think that the lenders, they felt they had more security utilizing the loan guaranteed program coupled with -- Collateral Support was a brand new program just launched last year, the first one in the entire state, where the State government is saying we're going to give you a cash deposit to pledge to your borrower's loan at your bank. So a lot of education had to be done. We're seeing right now a lot of success, which is great, the geography kind of like middle, not middle America, but the middle of the state in a very rural part of the country, a lot of the farmers. We're trying to work with a lot of lenders who work with farmers, because the farmers are typically the ones that do not have a lot of collateral to meet the banker's collateral requirements to be approved for the loan. So we have strategically started to market Collateral Support in certain pockets. <br> When I was out in Crowley for the SSBCI before Easter -- The Bank that's |  | Great job, lad |
| 2 |  | 2 | MS. VILLA: |
| 3 |  | 3 | That's awesome. |
| 4 |  | 4 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 5 |  | 5 | Very good. |
| 6 |  | 6 | MS. VILLA: |
| 7 |  | 7 | We should get that second, you know, |
| 8 |  | 8 | if you -- sorry. If you recall, there's |
| 9 |  | 9 | base amount that we get, and if we exceed |
| 10 |  | 10 | the SETI expectations, we can get that |
| 11 |  |  | extra |
| 12 |  | 1 | MS. RANEY: |
| 13 |  | 13 | Extra \$40 million - |
| 14 |  | 1 | MS. VILLA: |
| 15 |  | 15 | bonus. I was going to get there. |
| 16 |  | 16 | o yeah, so that's -- that's wonderful |
| 17 |  | 17 | team. Thank y'all for that. More money. |
| 18 |  | 18 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 19 |  | 19 | I'm curious. And you -- you migh |
| 20 |  | 20 | ot know. We were late getting approvals |
| 21 |  | 2 | to kick off our program relative to other |
| 22 |  | 22 | states. Are you seeing any action in |
| 23 |  | 23 | Treasury where states that were ahead of |
| 24 |  | 24 | us are not hitting their drawdown? I |
| 25 |  | 25 | mean, are we able, with those kinds of |
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| 1 | numbers, to be in line for even larger, | 1 | example, Collateral Support. And we may |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you know, scoop up the under-allocated? | 2 | have to make some calls for our equity |
| 3 | MS. RANEY: | 3 | program. So, there's a good sharing of |
| 4 | I absolutely would love to take | 4 | information in our SSBCI nationwide |
| 5 | advantage of that. And so, if we continue | 5 | network that we communicate with each |
| 6 | on this track, I think we should be well | 6 | other. And so, it's a matter of every |
| 7 | positioned to do just that. But I can | 7 | state wants the other state to be |
| 8 | tell you that Treasury has reached out to | 8 | successful in this. And the relationships |
| 9 | us and our team. They have undergone a | 9 | that we're developing now, the |
| 10 | case study about our marketing and | 10 | relationships that we have from 1.0, you |
| 11 | communications to the lenders and | 11 | know, people are saying, hey, you know, we |
| 12 | providers we're working with to understand | 12 | want to know, how are you doing this. And |
| 13 | what we're saying and doing to educate | 13 | so, it's a collaborative nationwide |
| 14 | them on the significance of SETI, because | 14 | effort. |
| 15 | there are a lot of states that are having | 15 | MR. REINE: |
| 16 | trouble with their providers using the | 16 | You might have answered my question, |
| 17 | program and really embracing that SETI | 17 | is: If they don't spend theirs, then some |
| 18 | designation and certification form. And | 18 | of that may be available to us, why are we |
| 19 | so there's a lot of states that are just | 19 | helping him spend theirs? |
| 20 | not completing it or maybe for some reason | 20 | MS. VILLA: |
| 21 | the way that they're communicating the | 21 | Team sports. |
| 22 | importance and the mission of the overall | 22 | MS. GUESS: |
| 23 | SSBCI program is not resonating. But | 23 | It's a team sport. |
| 24 | there are some challenges with other | 24 | MR. REINE: |
| 25 | states in meeting or at least excelling in $\text { Page } 86$ | 25 | I gotcha. $\quad$ Page 88 |
| 1 | their SETI goals. And so, I know that | 1 | MS. VILLA: |
| 2 | I've had a couple of zooms with the US | 2 | Small business support is a team |
| 3 | Treasury Office where they've asked us for | 3 | sport. |
| 4 | some questions. I've shared marketing | 4 | I think, and I don't mean to put you |
| 5 | information with them. They have provided | 5 | on the spot, I haven't had an opportunity, |
| 6 | a draft of their case study writeup. We | 6 | but you triggered a thought in my brain, |
| 7 | reviewed it. I've had our legal team | 7 | Brenda, when you said that. Are there sub |
| 8 | review it to make sure it was okay, | 8 | teams that are set up so that you guys |
| 9 | because this is something they want to | 9 | could talk to other states that are |
| 10 | publish. | 10 | providing collateral support or providing |
| 11 | MS. GUESS: | 11 | venture capital so y'all can all kind of |
| 12 | And in other words, we're the | 12 | get together and kind of have that group |
| 13 | poster -- we're almost the poster child | 13 | networking? |
| 14 | for Treasury to taut how our programs have | 14 | MS. GUESS: |
| 15 | run even though we got a late start. | 15 | Yeah, Treasury has been having the |
| 16 | Several other programs in other states had | 16 | Zoom calls -- |
| 17 | to hit the reset button because they were | 17 | MS. VILLA: |
| 18 | not meeting some of the goals that they | 18 | For the specific -- |
| 19 | were talking about. But then in the | 19 | MS. GUESS: |
| 20 | beginning, I think it comes to when we | 20 | -- after hours. |
| 21 | attended all of the conferences. People | 21 | MS. RANEY: |
| 22 | give us constantly calls on how do you | 22 | So we've got the Collateral Support |
| 23 | operate your program. You know, how are | 23 | working group, we've got the Loan |
| 24 | you doing this. And we also reach out for | 24 | Participation working group -- |
| 25 | those that have programs like, for | 25 | MS. VILLA: |
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| 1 | Perfect. Okay. | 1 | Mr. Andy Adler, Mr. Louis Reine, Ms. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. RANEY: | 2 | Norisha Glover. |
| 3 | -- the Loan Guarantee working group. | 3 | For the Policy committee, we have Mr. |
| 4 | We've got an Equity working group, and | 4 | Cal Simpson, Mr. Charles Jackson, Miss |
| 5 | we've got a City working Group, and then | 5 | Norisha Glover, Mr. Louis Reine, and Mr. |
| 6 | we have -- | 6 | Stephen David. |
| 7 | MS. VILLA: | 7 | For the Finance committee, we have |
| 8 | But what about the venture side, do | 8 | Ms. Anne Villa, Mr. Stephen David, Mr. Cal |
| 9 | they have anything on the venture side? | 9 | Simpson, Mr. Charles Jackson, and Mr. Andy |
| 10 | MS. RANEY: | 10 | Adler. |
| 11 | They encompass the Seed and the | 11 | And last for the Governmental |
| 12 | venture all in the same thing. | 12 | Outreach committee we have Ms. Norisha |
| 13 | MS. VILLA: | 13 | Glover, Mr. Cal Simpson, Mr. Lewis Reine, |
| 14 | Same thing, okay. | 14 | and Mr. Andy Adler. |
| 15 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 15 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 16 | Anything else, ladies? | 16 | Mr. David's point is well taken, and |
| 17 | MS. RANEY: | 17 | it's a good comment. I think what we'll |
| 18 | That'll be all. | 18 | do at the next board meeting is if |
| 19 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 19 | someone, perhaps staff, picks up from some |
| 20 | Very good. Good report. Good | 20 | board member that they would like to |
| 21 | questions. Good discussion. All right. | 21 | change we'll do it in reverse. Otherwise |
| 22 | Moving along, we need to fill some | 22 | we'll keep it as it is. Makes a lot of |
| 23 | committees and executive screening chairs. | 23 | sense. Okay. |
| 24 | I'll ask the board members that are here | 24 | Anything else? |
| 25 | by virtue of your attendance, and you get Page 90 | 25 | (No response.) Page 92 |
| 1 | preference. So, who would like to do | 1 | Motion to adjourn? |
| 2 | what? The committee -- so everyone should | 2 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 3 | have a copy of Executive, Screening, | 3 | Motion. |
| 4 | Policy, Finance, and Governmental | 4 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 5 | Outreach. | 5 | Second. |
| 6 | MR. DAVID: | 6 | CHARIMAN ROY: |
| 7 | I'm just staying on my three | 7 | Thank you. Great job. |
| 8 | committees. Screening Policy and Finance | 8 | Meeting concluded at 11:04 a.m. |
| 9 | and nominate Norisha and Anne for all -- | 9 |  |
| 10 | CHARIMAN ROY: | 10 |  |
| 11 | If everyone's okay with that. Mr. | 11 |  |
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| 13 | MR. JACKSON: | 13 |  |
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| 24 | For the screening committee, we have | 24 |  |
| 25 | Mr. Charles Jackson, Mr. Stephen David, Page 91 | 25 | Page 93 |
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| essentially | 64:19 | 11:5 | 80:8 |
| 12:13 16:2,20 | exciting 66:20 | expenditures | factories 14:6 |
| 17:6,10,15 | exclusively | 50:3 51:3 55:9 | factory $14: 7$ |
| 19:4 24:5,18 | 21:13 | 55:16 | $29: 14$ |
| 29:9,12 31:9 |  |  |  |

[fair - fund]

| fair 19:24 | finance 34:15 | fleet 7:20 9:3 | foundation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fall 65:20 | 34:21 35:5,18 | fletcher 13:19 | 22:1 44:9,14 |
| fallback 71:24 | 38:18 66:8,23 | flexibility | 45:3,24 46:11 |
| familiar 17:22 | 91:4,8 92:7 | 70:11,14 76:20 | 46:12,15 47:2 |
| family 39:18,20 | finances 35:22 | floor 1:6 | 47:9,13,22,22 |
| fantastic 14:25 | financial 34:23 | flow 49:16 | 47:23 48:1 |
| far 72:18 74:12 | 36:1,3,18 37:5 | focus 57:1,3,7 | 63:4,9 |
| 84:24 | 50:22 74:17 | focusing 22:4 | founded 11:22 |
| farmers 83:16 | 95:11 | folded 82:24 | 20:25 |
| 83:18,18 84:4 | find 7:10 17:21 | follow 17:21 | founders 72:14 |
| farms 6:21 | 32:177:24 | 20:22 65:7 | four 13:16 |
| father 32:4 | finding 77:5 | followed 18:15 | 44:24 50:13 |
| favor 6:3 33:23 | 80:4 | 48:17 | 52:8 59:2 |
| 38:25 58:8 | findings 36:5,8 | following 46:7 | 63:15 |
| 62:1 | 37:8,10 | 47:8,18 48:8 | fourchon 13:7 |
| february 58:20 | fine 53:23 | 94:21 | 21:11 34:4 |
| 59:19,23 | 91:14 | followup 44:19 | framework |
| federal 12:20 | fire 41:14 | 48:20 | 8:13 14:25 |
| 15:10 16:4,8 | firm 95:15 | food 57:1,3 | franchisee |
| 16:22,24 40:14 | first 5:14 9:3,7 | foods 57:7 | 39:21 |
| 78:8 94:4 | 13:4,10 15:13 | foot 9:11 | frankly 30:7 |
| feedback $52: 10$ | 15:18 21:9 | football 13:3 | front 31:978:4 |
| 52:16 67:8,11 | 28:8 30:9,22 | forecasting | fulfill $8: 16$ |
| feel 74:21 | 38:5 40:1 | 75:13 | 79:10 |
| feet 9:14 | 41:25 46:9,17 | foregoing 95:9 | full $7: 212: 1$ |
| fellowship | 47:20 51:11,13 | form 86:18 | 35:6,17 54:25 |
| 13:23 | 51:15 57:5 | formal 47:3,24 | 55:1 80:23 |
| felt 67:14 83:3 | 62:15 75:7,10 | formally 70:15 | fully 71:5 75:11 |
| field 6:22 13:4 | 77:15,17 83:6 | format 95:9 | 76:12 |
| 21:4 | fiscal 51:5 | forth 77:8 | fund 38:6 43:9 |
| figured 60:22 | 82:13 | 82:15 | 43:13 44:7,14 |
| fill 90:22 | five 8:2 9:6 | forum 59:9 | 44:15 45:18 |
| final 29:5 45:14 | 54:11,12 55:1 | forward 19:7 | 46:21 52:2,7 |
| finally $11: 6$ | 55:2 59:24 | 57:11 79:18 | 54:11,17,24 |
|  | 70:2,21 71:6 | 81:2 | 57:13,16 69:4 |

[fund - growth]
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[growth - incentives]

[incentivize - job]

[jobs - loan]

| jobs 9:24 10:1 | 31:21,25 32:5 | laura 3:21 6:11 | leticia 3:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:18,24 11:2 | 32:5 34:11 | 17:22 | letter 44:25 |
| 11:24 13:25 | 63:19 67:23 | laura's 15:3 | 47:3,24 60:25 |
| 14:7,19 15:5 | 70:571:25 | lauren 3:11 | 61:2 |
| 16:19 17:20 | 72:4 74:8 84:9 | law 17:23 | level 18:7,9 |
| 28:22,23 30:9 | 85:7,20 86:2 | lba 69:6 | 49:5 72:23 |
| 30:15,18 40:3 | 87:1,23 88:11 | leader 39:23 | leverage 71:12 |
| 40:11 62:16,25 | 88:11,12 | leading 6:17 | leveraged 17:4 |
| 67:16 | knowledge | 62:18 64:5 | 31:13 |
| johnson 3:22 | 26:4 95:14 | 66:1 | lewis 92:13 |
| june 34:23,25 | known 44:7,8 | lean 20:5 | lift 27:5 |
| jurisdictions | 1 | learned 48:13 | light 25:17 26:7 |
| 71:16 | 1:7 | 48:14 49:2 | 40:1 80:14 |
| k | lab 9:12 | lease 13:9 23:2 | line 61:6 86:1 |
| kansas 21:6 | labelle 1:6 | 23:4, 11 $24: 15$ leaves $55 \cdot 10,18$ | linesmen 12:12 <br> list 57.5 |
| karla 3:15 | labor 16:9,24 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { leaves } 55: 10,18 \\ 57: 16 \end{array}$ | list 57:5 <br> litigant 95 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { keep } 73: 14 \\ 92: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 20 \quad 18: 12 \\ & 23: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 57:16 led $63: 15,16,25$ | 95:16 |
| kelly 3:18 | Ladies 69:22 | 64:23 65:2 69:14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { little } 15: 425: 19 \\ 70: 1073: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| 53:25 55:4 | 85:1 90:16 | led's 45:10 | $78: 184: 6$ |
| 68:13 74:20,25 | lafayette 47:10 | ledc $34: 24,25$ | livelihood 64:8 |
| key 7:20 29:4 <br> keystone 27:6 | 47:15 large $51: 17$ | 58:16 59:16 | llc 6:15 57:6 |
| kick 85:21 | 64:12 | leds 19:17 | load 67:10 |
| kids 29:17 | larger 70:16 | left 19:11 48:23 | loan 39:17,19 |
| 31:23,25 | 86:1 | 60:11 | 40:4,18 41:22 |
| kind 15:10 | lasalle 1:5 | legal 87:7 | 50:25 58:18,23 |
| 25:12 32:14 | lastly 59:22 | lenders 40:22 | 59:2,13,16,23 |
| 63:17 64:18 | late 85:20 | 41:2,7 74:2 | 60:1,9,10,14 |
| 83:13 89:11,12 | 87:15 | 83:2,17 86:11 | 61:4 76:9 |
| kinds 85:25 | launch 14:16 | lending 39:9 | 79:20,25 80:9 |
| king 39:21,22 | 70:21 72:19 | 40:16,23 41:3 | 82:3,7,11 83:4 |
| know 19:9 | launched 22:4 | 73:24 | 83:10,21 89:23 |
| 21:21 26:6 | 83:6 | lendistry 41:9 | 90:3 |
| 27:3,12 28:3 |  | 41:13,22 |  |

[loans - martin]

| loans 40:13 | lot 16:2 17:3 | Isu 13:22 44:9 | management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:2 58:19 | 24:17 26:22 | 44:13 45:3,3 | 66:7 |
| 59:2,17,24 | 27:1,10 28:5 | 45:24,24 46:11 | manager 45:11 |
| 60:7,16,18 | 30:9,16,17 | 46:15 47:9,9 | managers |
| local 12:11 | 32:2 45:20 | 47:12,12,22 | 45:10 |
| 68:1,24 | 64:12 65:5 | 48:1 68:18 | mansfield |
| locale 13:21 | 67:13 75:15 | m | 62:16 |
| locals 67:25 | 83:10,12,16,17 | machine 46:14 | manufacture |
| located 8:21,22 | 83:19 86:15,19 | 46:23 | 17:827:9 |
| 39:19 | 92:22 | madam 28:13 | manufactured |
| locating 24:23 | lots 66:20 | made 26:23 | 21:10 |
| location 19:3 | louis 3:7 4:13 | 45:4 46:25 | manufacturer |
| locke 39:18,20 | 28:9 92:1,5 | 47:10 59:10 | 16:15,16,17 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { long } 13: 3 \text { 14:24 } \\ 74: 8,17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { louisiana } 1: 1,2 \\ 4: 47: 178: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 60:18 70:8 | $62: 14,19$ |
| longer 78:3 | 11:16 12:9 | 73:21 81:13 | $62: 23$ |
| longevity 7:14 | 13:8,21 16:20 | magnolia 42:24 | manufacturing |
| look 14:18 | 20:6,12 26:24 | main 52:15 | 7:1,4 9:14 |
| 15:21 24:2 | 27:4,7,11,14 | maintained | 12:18,22,24 |
| 32:2 33:9 | 32:8 33:14 | 10.311 .3 | 14:2,5,10 15:2 |
| 53:16 73:20 | 34:5 39:20 | maintenance | 15:7,17 16:5 |
| 80:13,19 84:11 | 42:14 62:15,16 | $7: 6 \text { 20:24 }$ | 17:11 18:9,20 |
| 84:12,15 | 63:10 64:3,5 | make $18: 14$ | 20:18 21:8 |
| looked 21:24 | 69:4 73:2,10 | 37:1 38:12 | 27:17 30:17 |
| 30:25 73:16 | 94:3,5 95:5,10 | $53: 7 \text { 54:5,16 }$ | march 5:15 |
| looking 16:18 | 95:13 | 54:17 62:8 | 59:3 68:19 |
| 19:7 22:10 | louisiana's 8:1 | 66:18 70:12 | 69:9 |
| 23:3 27:12 | love 52:19 86:4 | 72:24 75:11 | market 22:3,6 |
| 35:20 56:13 | low 12:24 | 78:11 87:8 | 26:14 69:6 |
| 65:8 73:5 74:2 | 18:21 25:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 1 \\ & 88: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 83:22 |
| 74:4 79:24 | 26:14,16 | makes 92:22 | marketing |
| loss 60:1 | lowe 63:4,9,24 | making 49:12 | 68:16 86:10 |
| losses 58:23 | 64:4 | 49:15 77:23 | 87:4 |
| 59:13 | lp 44:8 | 79:4 95:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{martin} 6: 13 \\ & 11: 10,1315: 12 \end{aligned}$ |

[martin - movement]

| $16: 1017: 1,19$ | $86: 2587: 18$ | $10: 17,1911: 3$ | $53: 670: 11$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18: 3,1719: 13$ | $92: 1893: 8$ | $11: 517: 13$ | $72: 9,1073: 7$ |
| $20: 3,2121: 16$ | $95: 6$ | $19: 1550: 13$ | $73: 2275: 21$ |
| $22: 15,2324: 25$ | megawatt | $52: 2454: 10,11$ | $76: 1877: 1,22$ |
| $26: 2527: 20,25$ | $23: 13$ | $54: 12,13,22$ | $77: 2478: 2,7$ |
| $28: 1929: 2$ | megawatts | $55: 1,270: 3,25$ | $81: 585: 17$ |
| $31: 532: 19$ | $23: 7$ | $71: 685: 13$ | monitoring $7: 6$ |
| $33: 1,1034: 7$ | melissa $1: 11$ | mind $73: 14$ | $7: 15$ |
| $34: 2059: 9$ | $94: 2,2095: 5$ | minerva $44: 5$ | month $63: 2$ |
| massachusetts | $95: 21$ | minutes $5: 15$ | $68: 1869: 8,12$ |
| $21: 6$ | member $92: 20$ | $6: 2$ | $69: 16$ |
| match 48:25 | members $3: 2,8$ | minutiae $78: 20$ | months $40: 1$ |
| $49: 1751: 23$ | $90: 24$ | mirroring | $73: 18,2275: 16$ |
| $52: 3,8,2254: 1$ | mention $72: 5$ | $29: 12$ | $77: 2078: 13$ |
| $54: 477: 278: 7$ | $73: 12$ | mission $72: 15$ | morial $68: 22$ |
| material $52: 25$ | mentioned | $75: 2086: 22$ | morning $4: 3,8$ |
| $81: 994: 16$ | $15: 1028: 11,17$ | mississippi | $6: 1111: 14$ |
| matter $88: 6$ | $70: 1375: 24$ | $19: 120: 728: 4$ | $34: 17,19,21$ |
| $95: 16,17,18$ | $79: 19$ | misunderstood | $35: 1739: 11,13$ |
| maximum $74: 1$ | met $34: 22$ | $27: 16$ | $42: 16,1862: 9$ |
| $81: 19,22$ | method $94: 11$ | mizell $67: 1$ | $68: 13$ |
| mean $53: 16$ | $95: 7$ | model $20: 5$ | moss $45: 24$ |
| $85: 2589: 4$ | metrics $74: 9$ | $28: 2$ | motion $33: 17$ |
| meant $17: 5$ | mexico $9: 5$ | moderate $8: 10$ | $35: 936: 16$ |
| meet $31: 23$ | $12: 2519: 5$ | modification | $37: 138: 12,17$ |
| $72: 1475: 3$ | $22: 823: 12$ | $80: 24$ | $57: 2258: 3$ |
| $83: 20$ | micro $39: 8$ | modify $53: 10$ | $61: 12,15,17$ |
| meeting $5: 16$ | $40: 16,2341: 3$ | $70: 15$ | $93: 1,3$ |
| $35: 1839: 16$ | $73: 2474: 1$ | molly $3: 9$ | motions $35: 13$ |
| $40: 2141: 1$ | $76: 979: 20,25$ | moment $11: 25$ | move $53: 6$ |
| $42: 3,2144: 21$ | middle $15: 14$ | $29: 7$ | $57: 1070: 11,16$ |
| $44: 22,2345: 7$ | $83: 13,14,14$ | momentum | $73: 2276: 6,18$ |
| $45: 862: 8$ | mike $45: 12$ | $43: 21$ | $78: 1,981: 4$ |
| $64: 15,2465: 1$ | million $9: 10,13$ | money $22: 1$ | movement $82: 9$ |
| $68: 1469: 17,24$ | $9: 1910: 3,5,16$ | $51: 1252: 4,9$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[moving - onshore]

| moving 72:21 | networking | north 1:612:1 | offs 35:2 36:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90:22 | 89:13 | 12:6 14:14,16 | 36:13 37:20,23 |
| multiple 7:21 | never 22:18 | 59:4 | offset 9:22 |
| 30:19 74:14 | 24:20 | northwest | 12:24 17:11 |
| music 30:22 | new 10:1 11:2 | 62:14 | offshore 8:2,8 |
| n | 14:19 28:22 | note 56:19 | 8:24 9:2 14:15 |
| n 68:22 | 40:3,5,11 | novel 8:11 | 21:14 22:5,6 |
| name 6:11 | 41:10 43:9,10 | november | 24:3,15 |
| 42:18 | 43:12,16 44:6 | 48:22 | offtake 23:12 |
| named 49:14 | 46:11,12,14,15 | nrel 14:18 | oh 28:16 33:2 |
| names 35:20 | 56:1 62:25 | number 68:15 | 35:22 56:8 |
| 38:3 94:15 | 64:18,24 68:19 | 81:19 | ohio 28:3 |
| national 64:9 | 68:21 71:4 | numbers 84:13 | oil $31: 11,17$ |
| nationwide | 83:5 | 84:21 86:1 | 32:3,4,6 |
| 88:4,13 | newport 40:15 | 0 | okay $27: 24$ |
| natural 25:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { newsletter } 69 \\ 69: 8,15 \end{gathered}$ | objection 6:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 235: 8 ~ 37: 4 \\ & 37: 12,18 ~ 38: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { nay } 33: 2539: 2 \\ 58 \cdot 1060 \cdot 3 \end{gathered}$ | nextera 12:7 | $58: 12$ | 41:19 42:9 |
|  | 21:2 24:9 |  | 87:8 90:1,14 |
| $79: 1$ | nicely $72: 21$ | obtain 61:12 | 91:11 92:23 |
| necessary | niche 26:15 <br> nicholls 46:13 | obviously | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oklahoma } 21: 5 \\ & \text { old } 8: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| need 8:17 25:24 | 46:19 | ochsner 43:24 | olivier 41:10 |
| $31: 2032: 1,9,9$ | nine 9:23 10:24 | $44: 2$ | once $25: 3,4,4$ |
| $35: 253: 2,11$ | 28:23 77:20 | ochsner's 44:3 | 30:20 43:4 |
| $71: 1072: 9$ | 78:13 | offering 29:7 | 54:16 71:4 |
| 84:7 90:22 | nobic 44:9 | office 15:14 | 74:15 77:5,11 |
| $\text { needed } 48: 14$ | nola 60:7 | 53:9 66:7 69:5 | 78:2,9 |
| 53:10 60:12,23 | nominate 91:9 | $0: 1671: 14$ | ones 31:24 |
| 70:12,17 | nonprofit 52:2 | 1:24 84 | 83:19 |
| negatively 67:5 | norisha 5:2 | 87:3 | online 79:4 |
| net 10:19 63:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 9,23 \text { 92:2,5 } \\ & 92: 12 \end{aligned}$ | officer 65:5,9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { onshore 7:19 } \\ 21: 2222: 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { network } 63: 16 \\ 88: 5 \end{gathered}$ | normally $10: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:24 66:3 } \\ & 94: 395: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $24: 4,14$ |

[open - percent]
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| 75:15 80:23 | placed 82:5 | positions 13:12 | prices 23:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81:9,13,23 | plan 8:1 | 64:24 65:4 | principals 30:9 |
| 84:24,25 | planned 69:20 | 66:1 | prior 35:17 |
| perfect 90:1 | players 80:16 | positive 67:9 | private 18:22 |
| performance | please 4:6 | 67:20 68:2 | 49:17 52:4,8 |
| 6:20 | pleasure 11:15 | positively 67:5 | 54:11,19,23 |
| period 10:9 | pledge 83:9 | possible 80:20 | proactive 31:8 |
| 58:23 | plug 53:18 | possibly 74:4 | probably 23:3 |
| person 95:11 | pockets 72:8 | poster 87:13,13 | 24:16 25:11 |
| personal 10:10 | 75:22 83:23 | potential 24:22 | 63:14 73:16 |
| 23:24 95:7 | point 7:11 16:1 | power 8:3 | 75:14 84:13 |
| phase 63:18 | 23:5 24:9 29:3 | 11:20 16:1 | problem 18:16 |
| phenomenal | 41:18 54:15 | 23:10,12,17 | 21:1 |
| 13:2 | 77:4 81:20 | 24:8 | procedurally |
| phone 45:5 | 92:16 | ppa 23:10 | 35:3 |
| 46:5 47:12,17 | points 32:17 | pre 46:7 | procedure 94:5 |
| phonetically | pole 16:1 21:21 | precent 30:14 | 94:6 95:13 |
| 27:8 41:10 | policy 91:4,8 | preference 91:1 | proceeding |
| 94:17 | 92:3 | prepared 95:7 | 94:6,9,12 |
| phrase 94:17 | popularity | 95:9 | proceedings |
| phrases 94:14 | 79:22 | preparing | 2:3 4:1 |
| picks 92:19 | port 13:7 21:10 | 77:15 | process 24:18 |
| picture 71:12 | 34:4 | prepping 78:11 | 42:1 46:2 47:1 |
| piece 52:25 | porter 3:16 | present 3:2,8 | 68:1 77:16 |
| pieces 29:15 | 67:22 | 4:23 | processes 41:25 |
| pilot 14:2 18:24 | portfolio 24:11 | presented 5:20 | produce 24:8 |
| 21:8 29:9 | 40:12,17 58:19 | presenting | product 26:14 |
| pipe 62:13,20 | 58:21 59:2,16 | 58:16 | 43:2 |
| pipeline 77:19 | 59:17,20,23 | pretty 19:12 | profile 22:12 |
| 77:21 | 60:11,14 | 22:12 | profit 52:6 |
| pipes 62:18 | portion 9:22 | previously | program 6:8 |
| pitching 80:4 | 60:12 | 47:18 91:17 | 8:16,25 13:16 |
| place 10:23 | positioned 71:9 | price 23:5,7,7,8 | 16:19 29:9 |
| 77:9 80:25 | 86:7 | 23:10 24:9 | 39:9 40:16 |
| 82:10 |  |  | 41:4,5 42:14 |

[program - rate]

[rates - reporting]

| rates $80: 783: 2$ | recent $62: 10$ | region's $8: 6$ | remember |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| reach $7: 18$ | recently $63: 14$ | regional $44: 7$ | $81: 19$ |
| $87: 24$ | recognize | regions $69: 18$ | remind $53: 5$ |
| reached $86: 8$ | $63: 20,22$ | reine $3: 74: 13$ | reminder $45: 1$ |
| reaction $68: 2$ | recognized | $4: 145: 2115: 9$ | removal $47: 7$ |
| read $38: 191: 17$ | $6: 1672: 13$ | $16: 7,2117: 17$ | remove $44: 23$ |
| ready $9: 8$ | recommendat... | $17: 2418: 10$ | $46: 7$ |
| real $30: 10$ | $38: 18$ | $19: 820: 1,10$ | removed $41: 4$ |
| $48: 1884: 21$ | recommends | $26: 2027: 15,23$ | $47: 19$ |
| realign $80: 18$ | $10: 21$ | $30: 2132: 12,23$ | removing |
| really 14:21,21 | reconstruction | $33: 3,1834: 10$ | $67: 13$ |
| $17: 521: 7$ | $44: 15$ | $35: 14,19,24$ | renegotiate |
| $22: 2426: 2$ | record $94: 7$ | $36: 4,9,15,19,25$ | $23: 1624: 1,12$ |
| $29: 3,2232: 21$ | recruit $66: 14$ | $37: 7,11,17,22$ | renewables |
| $63: 1,1364: 19$ | recruiting | $38: 2,1150: 10$ | $12: 824: 11$ |
| $66: 6,1367: 9$ | $65: 17$ | $50: 1455: 21$ | $25: 931: 13$ |
| $74: 2080: 3,18$ | recycle $60: 14$ | $56: 17,2557: 4$ | rents $40: 6$ |
| $86: 17$ | red $45: 2052: 17$ | $57: 2160: 6,15$ | repairs $7: 6,14$ |
| reapply $46: 2,25$ | $52: 21$ | $67: 388: 15,24$ | report $34: 15$ |
| $49: 7$ | redeveloped | $92: 1,5,13$ | $36: 2049: 25$ |
| reason $52: 15$ | $8: 22$ | related $65: 10$ | $55: 657: 20,22$ |
| $86: 20$ | reduce $40: 9$ | $65: 2267: 17$ | $58: 13,1759: 12$ |
| reasons $48: 18$ | reference $94: 16$ | $95: 17$ | $60: 461: 13$ |
| recall $40: 22$ | reflected $58: 24$ | relationship | $66: 370: 8$ |
| $85: 8$ | $59: 11,13,20$ | $95: 15,16$ | $71: 1990: 20$ |
| recap $46: 9$ | $60: 1$ | relationships | reported $16: 11$ |
| receive $51: 24$ | regard $62: 7$ | $88: 8,1095: 13$ | $13: 558: 20$ |
| $66: 1767: 8$ | regarding | relative $48: 12$ | $59: 1895: 6$ |
| $71: 9$ | $57: 1962: 10$ | $78: 1879: 18$ | reporter $28: 13$ |
| received $47: 20$ | $65: 6$ | $85: 21$ | $94: 2,20,21$ |
| $52: 11,1667: 12$ | regards $44: 13$ | relatively $35: 1$ | $95: 5,10,21$ |
| $67: 2168: 7$ | $47: 6$ | relay $56: 19$ | reporter's $94: 1$ |
| $70: 20$ | region $8: 18$ | relocate $65: 17$ | $94: 1295: 1$ |
| receiving $10: 16$ | $11: 2112: 15$ | remaining | reporting |
| $77: 24$ | $58: 19$ | $58: 2366: 2,16$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[reporting - satisfactory]

| 95:7,15 | respective 7:23 | 87:8 | route 32:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reports 14:18 | responded 46:3 | reviewed 87:7 | 54:25 |
| represent | 47:16 | reviewing | routing 70:1 |
| 20:11 | response 5:1,3 | 41:21 49:11 | roy $3: 34: 2,9,10$ |
| representative | 5:5 6:6 33:20 | revitalization | 5:11,19,25 |
| 27:3 45:12 | 33:22 34:1 | 19:12 | 33:16 34:13,18 |
| representing | 38:24 39:3 | rfp 47:1 | 35:7,12 38:16 |
| 6:12,14 39:15 | 40:20 45:14 | rfq 46:2 | 38:22 39:7,12 |
| request 40:4 | 58:5,7,11 | right 26:12 | 42:4,8,12 48:5 |
| 42:25 44:19 | 61:23,25 62:4 | 33:17 54:25 | 48:10 49:19 |
| 54:20 60:10 | 67:4 68:9 | 70:5,18 74:10 | 58:2 61:10,16 |
| 75:4 77:23 | 92:25 | 74:12,13 75:9 | 61:20 68:10 |
| requested | responsibilities | 76:11,24 83:12 | 75:23 76:3,10 |
| 44:23 46:6 | 66:11 | 90:21 | 78:16 79:9,14 |
| 47:7,18 | rest 60:13 | rigs 24:24 | 81:15 84:5,16 |
| required 49:5 | restructure | rising 83:1 | 85:4 90:15,19 |
| 78:14 95:3,10 | 65:3 | river 19:1 20:7 | 91:10,15,21 |
| requirement | restructuring | 28:4 | 92:15 93:6 |
| 66:16 67:13 | 64:22 | road 9:16 40:8 | rule 84:14 94:4 |
| requirements | result 10:18 | robin 3:16 | rules 45:20 |
| 83:21 | resulted 42:3 | robust 77:21 | 94:4 95:10,14 |
| requires 8:11 | retain 9:23 | role 66:5 | run 18:24,24 |
| rescinding | retention 10:24 | roll 4:5 | 29:9 84:12 |
| 56:22 | 65:11 | rolled 69:8 | 87:15 |
| research 8:15 | revelry 45:3 | romaine 3:20 | running 19:19 |
| 43:16 46:10 | 46:3 | room 1:6 | rural 83:15 |
| 47:2,21,23 | revenue 10:19 | rotor 6:17,18 | rwe 22:9 |
| 55:7 | revenues 10:15 | 6:25 7:8 14:11 | S |
| reset 87:17 | 2:14 | rotors 6:22 | safe 53:12 |
| residential 38:8 | reverse 92:21 | 7:13 9:3 | sail 26:7,8,9,10 |
| resonating | review 41:1 | rouge 1:7 62:22 | sailed $26: 5$ |
| 86:23 | 43:4,11,17 | 62:23 69:2 | sales 39:25 |
| resource 13:2 | 44:2,3,12,17 | roughly 77:20 | satisfactory |
| resources 8:6 | 46:18 48:3 | rounded 70:25 | 40:25 |
| 64:1 | 50:7 55:22 |  |  |

[saying - simpson]

| saying 26:16 | section 53:21 | separate 11:17 | shift 53:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83:8 86:13 | secure 23:4 | 36:11,13 | 79:19 |
| 88:11 | secured 12:3 | series 14:2 | shipping 25:6 |
| sbir 15:18 69:2 | 15:13,18 23:11 | service 7:18 | 27:18 |
| scale 21:19,22 | securing 14:24 | 21:7 27:1,5 | shipyard 8:23 |
| scenarios 25:2 | security 83:3 | services 6:24 | 19:11 |
| school 29:4,6 | see 19:11 $23: 25$ | 7:3 39:24 64:7 | shooting 54:10 |
| 29:19 30:4,25 | 24:13 25:11 | 65:15 66:12 | short 71:4 74:9 |
| 31:3 32:7,15 | 63:21 79:17,21 | 69:15 72:12 | 74:18 78:22 |
| 32:16 | 80:20 84:13 | 95:12 | shorthand |
| schools 13:15 | seed 42:23 | set 14:5 55:18 | 95:10 |
| 13:18 28:11,17 | 44:10,24 45:15 | 82:4,9,15 89:8 | show 60:18 |
| 29:11,16 31:24 | 50:24 51:21,21 | seti 85:10 86:14 | 78:14 |
| schweiss 27:7 | 52:18 53:12,16 | 86:17 87:1 | showing 20:4 |
| scoop 86:2 | 54:11 69:3 | setting 41:24 | 33:7 75:4 |
| screening | 90:11 | 46:21 | side 51:20,21 |
| 90:23 91:3,8 | seeing 22:21 | seven 23:5 | 52:14,20 66:12 |
| 91:24 | 83:11 85:22 | 24:16 50:9,11 | 67:20 90:8,9 |
| seal 95:3 | seek 75:19 | 50:17 73:15 | signature 94:21 |
| seamless 62:17 | seem 76:4,13 | several 12:5 | 95:2 |
| 62:20 | seems 76:19 | 19:19 42:21 | signed 72:22 |
| second 5:18,24 | seen 23:19,20 | 68:4 73:21 | significance |
| 15:19 33:18 | 62:11 | 87:16 | 86:14 |
| 38:17 40:21 | select 63:20 | shallow 25:14 | significantly |
| 58:1,3 59:5,10 | selected 57:10 | shamelda 3:12 | 25:8 |
| 61:19,21 63:18 | 62:14 | 39:9,15 | silence 5:13 |
| 63:22 71:9 | selling 23:17 | share 70:4 | similar 21:19 |
| 74:22 75:18 | senate 66:22 | shared 87:4 | 31:11 |
| 85:7 93:5 | senator 67:1 | shareka 3:14 | simmons 3:19 |
| secretary 5:4 | send 20:6 | 42:18 49:14 | 4:7,12,16,20,24 |
| 49:25 55:5 | sends 62:7 | sharing 88:3 | 5:9 |
| 57:19 62:7 | senior 13:17 | shell $8: 15,20$ | simpson 3:6 |
| 64:25 66:2,4,5 | sense 92:23 | 9:10 12:4 14:3 | 4:17,18 5:17 |
| 70:7 | sent 49:9,12 | 21:3 22:9 | 5:23 20:16 |
|  |  | 31:14 | 61:14 91:22 |


| 92:4,9,13 93:4 <br> simulations | speak 13:6 <br> speaking 30:20 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ssbci } 49: 1,18 \\ 51: 14,2452: 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { startup } 43: 9,12 \\ & \text { state } 1: 18: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:23 | 72:11 74:15 | 52:9 54:12,20 | 10:9,12,15,20 |
| single 7 | special 33:5 | 58:18,22 59:22 | 16:20 25:21 |
| sir 42:771:20 | specialist 6:18 | 60:1 65:7 | 30:11,13,16 |
| 76:2 79:1 | 7:24 | 68:11 69:10,14 | 46:13,19 50:20 |
| site 8:23 9:16 | specialists 30:6 | 70:21 72:15 | 51:13 64:2 |
| sites 40:7 | specific 89:18 | 77:2 83:25 | 67:16 72:7 |
| situation 57:10 | specifically | 86:23 88:4 | 73:7 83:7,7,15 |
| six 24:16 40:1 | 17:20,23 68:17 | st 59:9 | 88:7,7 94:3,7 |
| 58:19 73:17 | spectrum 7:3 | staff 3:8 6:12 | 95:5 |
| 77:20 78:13 | speed 25:17 | 10:21 12:10 | stated 41:23 |
| size 22:3 40:18 | 26:14,16 | 39:15 43:4,6 | 47:12,13,23 |
| skills 31:12,20 | speeds 8:11 | 43:12,17 44:1 | statements |
| 33:7 | spell 34:11 | 44:12,17 45:4 | 21:1 34:23 |
| small 29:15 | spelled 27:8 | 45:6,16 46:6 | 35:22 36:1,3 |
| 35:1 50:20 | 41:10 94:17 | 47:7,10,17 | 36:18 37:5 |
| 55:6 63:13 | spend 21:25 | 48:3,19 68:14 | states 64:6 73:6 |
| 64:1,7,10,10 | 88:17,19 | 92:19 | 85:22,23 86:15 |
| 65:6 68:20 | spent 19:14 | staffing 43:22 | 86:19,25 87:16 |
| 69:14 72:24 | spinning 21:10 | stage 7:4 21:8 | 89:9 |
| 89:2 | sponsor 15:16 | 63:18,22 64:19 | status 40:25 |
| solar 25:10 | sponsoring | 78:5 | 52:3 58:17 |
| solutions 7:14 | 29:24 | stainless 62:19 | statute 95:10 |
| somebody | spontaneous | standalone | stay 29:17 80:8 |
| 77:25 | 94:8 | 24:24 | 91:16 |
| somewhat 23:1 | sport 88:23 | standard 16:13 | staying 91:7 |
| soon 60:23 | 89:3 | standards 16:9 | steel 23:8 62:20 |
| sorry 28:16 | sports 88:21 | 16:24 18:12 | stem 13:15,16 |
| 56:13 80:24 | spot 89:5 | start 25:6 | stenomask 95:7 |
| 82:23 85:8 | spotlight 63:5,5 | 77:14 87:15 | stephen 3:5 5:6 |
| sort 21:21 26:1 | 63:6,8 | started 34:5 | 91:25 92:6,8 |
| south 13:8,20 | square 9:11,14 | 69:24 83:22 | steps 8:17 |
| space $9: 15$ | 47:10,15 | starting 80:11 | sterifx 38:9 |

[stifle - test]

|  |  |  | taut $87: 14$ <br> tax $15: 2017: 6$ <br> $18: 1,4,7,14$ <br> $67: 6,15,18$ <br> teaching $12: 15$ <br> team $7: 20$ <br> $12: 11 \quad 13: 17$ <br> $32: 385: 17$ <br> $86: 987: 7$ <br> $88: 21,2389: 2$ <br> teams $21: 489: 8$ <br> technical $7: 9$ <br> $7: 12,22$ <br> technologies <br> $15: 17 \quad 18: 20$ <br> $26: 1755: 17$ <br> technology <br> $6: 15,17,18,25$ <br> $7: 8,258: 12,16$ <br> $8: 209: 113: 13$ <br> $16: 1630: 17$ <br> $43: 2369: 5$ <br> teenagers $29: 8$ <br> tell $22: 1837: 2$ <br> $86: 8$ <br> ten $71: 13,25$ <br> $72: 2073: 15$ <br> $80: 2281: 9$ <br> term $39: 19$ <br> $74: 8,9,17,18$ <br> terminating <br> $40: 23$ <br> terms $40: 12$ <br> test $76: 14,21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[testimony - trigger]

| testimony $95: 6$ | $76: 11,2379: 24$ | tin $28: 2$ | transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| texas $19: 24$ | $82: 24,24,25$ | today $13: 13$ | $79: 782: 5$ |
| 20:8,11 $21: 5$ | $83: 284: 2,6$ | $14: 14,2342: 20$ | transactions |
| thank $11: 14$ | $86: 687: 20$ | $55: 1763: 6,7$ | $42: 2370: 19$ |
| $14: 2217: 18$ | $89: 492: 17$ | $65: 2569: 21$ | $75: 879: 2$ |
| $26: 1934: 8$ | thinking $75: 14$ | together $84: 22$ | $81: 25$ |
| $38: 2139: 4,4,6$ | $77: 1482: 17$ | $89: 12$ | transcribed |
| $42: 11,1349: 21$ | third $42: 24$ | told $31: 21$ | $95: 7$ |
| $55: 457: 24$ | $59: 1565: 21$ | tonight $63: 24$ | transcript |
| $58: 1262: 5$ | thomas $29: 11$ | total $9: 1810: 4$ | $94: 1495: 2,8,9$ |
| $85: 1793: 7$ | thought $27: 17$ | $11: 420: 12$ | $95: 9$ |
| thanks $48: 8$ | $56: 280: 1$ | $22: 940: 16$ | transcription |
| theirs $88: 17,19$ | $82: 1289: 6$ | $43: 6,13,18$ | $94: 12$ |
| thing $16: 11$ | $94: 10$ | $58: 2171: 2,6$ | transfer $69: 5$ |
| $25: 2126: 11$ | thousand $14: 7$ | totaling $12: 10$ | $75: 2576: 5$ |
| $27: 275: 24$ | three $11: 17$ | $43: 7,14,23$ | transferred |
| $76: 2477: 4$ | $13: 2435: 1$ | totals $59: 4,18$ | $70: 2475: 6$ |
| $90: 12,14$ | $36: 10,13,14$ | $59: 20,2560: 3$ | transfers $79: 18$ |
| things $28: 5,10$ | $37: 20,2340: 2$ | $70: 4,25$ | transport $40: 6$ |
| $36: 1463: 2$ | $43: 13,1959: 17$ | touring $64: 14$ | transportation |
| $65: 2,1066: 20$ | $63: 1464: 23$ | tours $69: 4$ | $40: 10$ |
| $73: 14,1980: 1$ | $65: 4,2591: 7$ | towards $31: 3$ | travel $21: 5,5$ |
| $80: 1882: 17$ | threshold | $71: 3$ | treasurer's |
| think $14: 25$ | $80: 22$ | tower $12: 13$ | $49: 2557: 20$ |
| $15: 2519: 24$ | throughput | town $63: 3$ | $70: 8$ |
| $21: 1824: 13$ | $18: 2319: 2$ | $64: 20$ | treasury $53: 9$ |
| $27: 9,2128: 23$ | thursday $1: 4$ | track $86: 6$ | $70: 1671: 14$ |
| $32: 651: 10,12$ | tickets $28: 5$ | trained $69: 17$ | $75: 580: 25$ |
| $51: 14,1652: 14$ | time $11: 2323: 4$ | training $8: 15$ | $81: 2482: 3,6$ |
| $57: 963: 14$ | $24: 1430: 1$ | $8: 2530: 3$ | $84: 20,2385: 23$ |
| $66: 2167: 8,12$ | $45: 2250: 6$ | $69: 20$ | $86: 887: 3,14$ |
| $67: 13,1968: 1$ | $51: 1154: 9,15$ | tranche $53: 6$ | $89: 15$ |
| $68: 669: 21$ | $60: 965: 15$ | $70: 1371: 1,9$ | treasury's $55: 6$ |
| $73: 2574: 6,20$ | $66: 13,1575: 12$ | $74: 13,2275: 4$ | trigger $75: 3$ |
| $74: 2375: 17$ | $77: 582: 2$ | $75: 7,18$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[triggered - villa]

| triggered 89:6 | 81:5 82:24 | union 39:17 | value 25:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trouble 86:16 | 84:25 | 40:13,14 | 32:6,16 |
| truck 40:5 | $\text { type } 57: 9$ | universities | values 36:3 |
| true 95:8 | typically $83: 18$ | 3:24 | variable 8:7 |
| try 18:19 29:19 | $\mathbf{u}$ | university | various 33:8 |
| trying 19:22 | unable 62:8 | 13:22 30:5 | vehicle 40:9 |
| 16,24 83:16 | under 6:8 | 46:13,19 | vehicles 40:6 |
| tube 62:13 | 42:23 43:4,11 | unknown 25:19 | venture 43:24 |
| tubes 62:18 | 43:17 44:3,11 | 26:2 | 44:13,14 45:3 |
| tulane 13:22 | 44:17 46:18 | unmodifie | 47:6,8,25 |
| 44:6 | $8: 2 \text { 51:21, }$ | 36:7 37:6 | 50:24 51:7,20 |
| tune 12:22 | $3: 2055: 22$ | uno 13:22 | 51:25 52:13,18 |
| tuned 32:8 | $6: 1870: 21$ | 29:25 | 52:19 53:12 |
| turbine 6:16,18 | 5:8 78:25 | unsponsore | 70:9,22 71:10 |
| 6:24 7:9,19,24 | 81:13,25 86:2 | 10:22 | 73:24 75:10,20 |
| 9:7 13:5 14:2 | 5:7 | unsurmounta.. | 79:3,7 80:15 |
| 18:6 20:20 | undergoin | 26:3 | 89:11 90:8,9 |
| 21:14,17,20,25 | 49:11 | update 40:21 | 90:12 |
| 22:2,8 23:8,23 | undergone | 42:15,19 44:19 | ventures 43:15 |
| turbines 14:12 | 86:9 | 53:20 68:11 | verified 61:1 |
| 14:13,15 15:25 | underneath | 69:11,13 | 94:16 |
| 21:18 23:15 | 65:20 | updates 62:10 | versus 68:4 |
| 25:22,25 26:10 | undersecretary | upgrades 7:15 | 82:21 |
| tweak 48:14 | 66:6 | use 12:12 51:14 | vertical $65: 8,12$ |
| two 9:25 10:2 | understand | 60:12 84:3 | 65:20,21 66:19 |
| 11:1,23 13:6 | 86:12 | used 8:8 9:21 | vessel 27:9 |
| 17:12 19:14 | understanding | 94:10 | vessels 27:6 |
| 29:24 40:22 | 95:8 | using 71:23 | veterans 7:21 |
| 41:7 43:22 | understood | 86:16 | villa 3:17 33:12 |
| 52:3 59:4 60:7 | $8: 11,18$ | usual 10:22 | 34:14,16 35:10 |
| 63:1 69:17 |  | utilizing 83:3 | 35:16,21 36:2 |
| 73:23 75:2,2,4 | 82:2 | $\mathbf{v}$ | 36:6,12,17,21 |
| 75:7,11 76:14 |  |  | 37:3,9,13,19,24 |
| 76:15 78:19,24 | $10: 7$ | valuation 34:24 | 38:20 39:4,5 |
| 79:1,2,4,5,7,11 |  |  | 49:23,24 50:12 |

Page 28
[villa - yesterday]

| 50:16 51:9 | 13:19,23 15:18 | 19:20 20:17 | world 7:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55:3 56:3,9,15 | 18:23,24 19:19 | 21:22 22:3,4,5 | worries 56:16 |
| 56:21 57:2,8 | 19:22 20:4 | 22:6,13,25,25 | worth 77:20 |
| 57:23 62:6 | 25:2,20 29:9 | 24:2,3,4,5,6,14 | write 36:11,13 |
| 67:7,24 74:19 | 29:12 62:11 | 24:16 25:10,17 | writeup 87:6 |
| 85:2,6,14 | 65:12 67:21 | 26:9,10,14,16 | wrong 56:13 |
| 88:20 89:1,17 | 71:23 75:5 | 26:22 30:8 | y |
| 89:25 90:7,13 | 81:12 89:22,23 | 31:18 55:17 | y'all 28:9 30:25 |
| 91:22 92:8 | 90:4,5 | winds 26:7 | 67:4 85:17 |
| village 43:21 | webster 59:4 | withstanding | $89: 11$ |
| virtue 34:3 | wednesday | 9:6 | yeah 18:18 |
| 90:25 | 66:23 67:2 | womack 3:21 | yeah 19:14 28:1,20 |
| w | week 30:1 40:8 | 6:9,10,12 | 33:11 35:11,15 |
| waiting 45:13 | $41: 2361: 1$ 62.1263 .3 | $20: 14$ | 56:10,10,12 |
| 59:8 75:9 | $62: 1263: 3$ $66: 2169.9$ | $85 \cdot 16$ | 57:9 61:9 67:2 |
| vant 23:15 | 66.21 weeks $13: 6$ | $\text { words } 87: 12$ | 73:12 85:16 |
| 28:8 30:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weeks 13:6 } \\ & 84 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | $94 \cdot 1315$ | 89:15 |
| 31:18 36:23 | $84: 25$ <br> weight | 94:13,15 | year 9:8 12:4 |
| 53:4 74:25 | weight 70 | work 12:11 | 14:1,15 15:15 |
| 81:1 87:9 | $71: 11$ welcome 64.13 | 13:22 20:24 | 15:20 19:15 |
| 88:12 | welcome 64:13 | $29: 10,2532: 9$ $32.1564: 17$ | 21:11 24:15 |
| wanted 18:14 | 64:13 | 32:15 64:17 | 29:5 40:25 |
| wants 88:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { went } 23: 9,14 \\ & 78: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:12 75:16 } \\ & 77: 22 \text { 83:17.17 } \end{aligned}$ | 50:17 51:1,5 |
| warranty $25: 18$ | willing 81:17 | workers 20:11 | 55:10 63:20 |
| wassaw 27:10 | willing 81:17 | workers 20:11 <br> 20.12 29.1 | 70:20 72:19,20 |
| water 25:14 | $\text { wind } 6: 15,16$ | 20:12 29:1 | 73:15,16 74:5 |
| way $14: 24$ | 6:17,19,21,23 | workforce 8: | 82:25 83:6 |
| 20:25 22:25 | 7:11,18,19,24 | $\begin{array}{ll}33: 14 \\ \text { working } & \\ 13.17\end{array}$ | years 11:23 |
| 30:2 48:21 | 8:2,6,8,11,14 | working 13:17 | 23:4,6 24:17 |
| 54:6 64:16 | 8:20,24 9:2 | 28:11,18 29:5 | 25:13 63:11,15 |
| 81:24 82:16 | $11: 7,20,22$ $12 \cdot 15,1714 \cdot 8$ | 41:24 43:10 $67 \cdot 1072 \cdot 13$ | уep 22:16 |
| 86:21 | 12:15,17 14:8 $14: 13,1515: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:10 72:13 } \\ & \text { 86:12 89:23,24 } \end{aligned}$ | yesterday |
| ways 73:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 13,1515: 2 \\ & 15: 14,2216: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $90: 3,4,5$ | 66:24 |
| $\begin{array}{rc} \text { we've } & 11: 24 \\ 12: 5 & 13: 1,14 \end{array}$ | $17: 1218: 19$ | 90:3,4,5 |  |

## [zero-zooms]

| z |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| zero | $38: 7,9,10$ |
| 61:4 | $70: 9$ |
| zeros | $60: 18$ |
| zoom | $89: 16$ |
| zooms | $87: 2$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

